Sara H. Cody, M.D. - 08-18-2022 CALVARY CHAPEL SAN JOSE vs GAVIN NEWSOM

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

-000-

# CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

CALVARY CHAPEL SAN JOSE, a )
California Non-Profit Corporation; )
PASTOR MIKE MCCLURE, an individual; )
SOUTHRIDGE BAPTIST CHURCH OF )
SAN JOSE CALIFORNIA dba )
SOUTHRIDGE CHURCH, a California )
Non-Profit Corporation; PASTOR )
MICAIAH IRMLER, an individual, )

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity as the Governor of California; TOMAS ARAGON, M.D., in his official capacity as the Acting California Public Health Officer; SANTA CLARA COUNTY; SARA H. CODY, M.D., in her official capacity as Santa Clara County Public Health Officer; MIKE WASSERMAN, in his official capacity as Santa Clara County Supervisor; CINDY CHAVEZ, in ) her official capacity as a Santa Clara County Supervisor; DAVE CORTESE, in his official capacity as a Santa Clara County Supervisor; SUSAN ELLENBERG, in her ) official capacity as a Santa Clara County Supervisor; and JOE SIMITIAN,) in his official capacity as a Santa Clara County Supervisor,

Defendants.

Case No.: 20-cv-03794-BLF

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY'S PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE PURSUANT TO RULE 30(B)(6) - SARA H. CODY, M.D.

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 2 of 139

1	DATE:	Thursday, August 18, 2022
2	TIME:	9:04 A.M. to 6:52 P.M.
3	LOCATION:	Remote Via Zoom Videoconference
4		
5	REPORTED BY: Michelle D. Know	wleg
6		PR, CRR, CRC, CCRR
7	FILE NO. 22-0016	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 3 of 139

1	If you want to ask her about comments she made about	
2	the County's rules, I guess you can ask her about	
3	that.	
4	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Well, her her	
5	capacity regarding whether she was at a social	10:31:14
6	gathering or or it was during the workday is the	
7	same. It you know, she's she's talking about	
8	her response to COVID-19	
9	MR. WALL: Look, Ms. Gondeiro, there's a	
10	there's a there's a critical	10:31:27
11	MS. GONDEIRO: that leads with her role	
12	as capac her her role once she's outside of	
13	the building.	
14	MR. WALL: The critical distinction here is	
15	that Dr. Cody is appearing as a designee of the	10:31:39
16	County to testify on behalf of the County. She's not	
17	here in her personal capacity to testify about her	
18	social con her social life.	
19	And if you want to expand the scope of this	
20	deposition to her personal capacity, we can we can	10:31:54
21	designate, say, until noon to talk about her personal	
22	capacity and close any further deposition in that	
23	capacity. If you want to talk to her as the designee	
24	of the County, please ask questions about the County	
25	and what it did and the basis for its actions during	10:32:12

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 4 of 139

1	the COVID-19 pandemic.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. What we'll do is	
3	we'll talk about this, Robin, over lunch because I	
4	don't want to waste any more time, and we will	
5	we'll talk about this, and perhaps you can have	10:32:24
6	someone in your in your county office provide me	
7	the case law that is warranting you precluding her	
8	from from answering these questions.	
9	MR. WALL: I'm not precluding her from	
10	answering. I'm just saying that if we're going to	10:32:38
11	discuss private third-party identities and	
12	information, we need appropriate confidentiality	
13	protection.	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, I also need to know the	
15	case law that requires that. She's already given me	10:32:50
16	other third-party information names. So I don't want	
17	to waste any more time, so we're going to have to	
18	talk about this over lunch break.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Dr. Cody, what scientific literature did you	10:33:02
21	rely on, starting in January of 2020, to learn about	
22	COVID-19?	
23	A. There would have been multiple sources.	
24	Q. Okay. Well, what were those what were	
25	the sources you relied upon, starting in January of	10:33:24
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 5 of 139

_		
1	2020, to learn about COVID-19?	
2	A. I can give you examples. This will not be	
3	exhaustive.	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	A. So official publications by the Centers for	10:33:39
6	Disease Control and their morbidity and mortality	
7	reports, articles published in the medical literature	
8	by medical journals, articles published in the	
9	literature by other public health journals, articles	
10	published in the literature from epidemiology	10:34:06
11	journals, and and other publications and and	
12	preprints.	
13	Q. Were there any specific studies you relied	
14	upon regarding COVID-19 starting in January of 2020?	
15	A. And what time period?	10:34:33
16	Q. Starting in January of 2020.	
17	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Overbroad.	
18	THE WITNESS: January 2020 through present?	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Let's say January of 2020 through through	10:34:43
21	March of 20 of 2020, what specific studies did	
22	you rely upon?	
23	A. Between January 2020 and March 2020?	
24	Q. Yes.	
25	A. I I don't recall which specific studies	10:34:59

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 6 of 139

		1
1	for that that time period. It was quite a while	
2	ago.	
3	Q. Okay. What about after from from	
4	March 2020 to the present, what specific studies did	
5	you rely upon regarding COVID-19?	10:35:12
6	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Overbroad.	
7	THE WITNESS: I I I wouldn't be able	
8	to give you specific citations for specific studies.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. You don't recall any specific studies you	10:35:25
11	relied upon regarding COVID-19 at all since January	
12	of 2020 to the present?	
13	A. There were many studies, as I mentioned, and	
14	there were studies regarding clinical studies,	
15	epidemiological studies, wastewater studies,	10:35:45
16	long-term COVID outcome studies, vaccination studies.	
17	So there were there were there were many. And	
18	there were studies in the CDC's MMWR. So there	
19	were there were many.	
20	Q. Well, let's start with the CDC.	10:36:13
21	So starting from January of 2020 to the	
22	present, what CDC studies did you rely on?	
23	A. I would have relied on many.	
24	Do you want to know which some examples?	
25	Q. Yes.	10:36:36
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 7 of 139

		1
1	A. So one example would be there was a report	
2	of a event in, I believe it was, Washington of a	
3	super-spreader event at a choir in Washington. I	
4	remember that one fairly well.	
5	Q. Do you remember any other studies and the	10:37:02
6	details of those studies conducted by the CDC	
7	regarding COVID-19 starting in January of 2020?	
8	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	
9	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
10	THE WITNESS: The CDC published many studies	10:37:17
11	of experiences from around the country with COVID-19	
12	that were relevant and of interest to us.	
13	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
14	Q. Okay. That didn't answer my question.	
15	I asked you, can you explain to me what	10:37:35
16	what other studies you relied upon from the CDC	
17	starting from January of 2020 to the present?	
18	A. So I don't I don't re I can't bring	
19	up specific citations of studies.	
20	Q. I'm not asking for specific citations. I'm	10:37:58
21	just asking you to just give me a brief overview of	
22	what you remember about that study.	
23	A. Of of types of studies that I would have	
24	relied on?	
25	Q. Yes. Relat regarding COVID-19	10:38:09
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 8 of 139

rogarding COVID 10	
<b>-</b>	
What other studies did you rely upon from the CDC	
regarding COVID-19 starting in January of 2020?	
MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	10:38:28
You can answer the question, Dr. Cody, if	
you understand it.	
THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
Yeah, your question is broad. The CDC would	
regularly publish in MMWR experiences from other	10:38:40
jurisdictions across the country around COVID. Many	
of their articles were around COVID. Those would	
have been studies that I would likely have read	
because they would have been relevant.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	10:38:58
Q. Okay. Can you name the studies that you	
remember from the CDC, starting in January of 2020?	
A. I remember the study of the super-spreader	
event in Washington from in a choir.	
Q. Okay. Do you recall any other study from	10:39:20
the CDC?	
A. There were volumes of studies from the CDC.	
If you ask me about a specific one, I can tell you if	
I can recall that specific one.	
Q. I'm asking you, aside from the study of the	10:39:36
	regarding COVID-19 starting in January of 2020?  MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered. You can answer the question, Dr. Cody, if you understand it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, your question is broad. The CDC would regularly publish in MMWR experiences from other jurisdictions across the country around COVID. Many of their articles were around COVID. Those would have been studies that I would likely have read because they would have been relevant.  BY MS. GONDEIRO:  Q. Okay. Can you name the studies that you remember from the CDC, starting in January of 2020?  A. I remember the study of the super-spreader event in Washington from in a choir.  Q. Okay. Do you recall any other study from the CDC?  A. There were volumes of studies from the CDC. If you ask me about a specific one, I can tell you if I can recall that specific one.

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 9 of 139

Washington choir, do you recall the contents of any	
other study from the CDC, starting in January of	
2020?	
MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
Argumentative.	10:39:50
You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
THE WITNESS: I can't remember a a	
specific study. What I can remember is publications	
around evidence for masking. I can remember studies	
regarding multiple super-spreader events and the	10:40:11
circumstances. I can remember studies regarding	
or reporting out around vaccination coverage later in	
the pandemic.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Okay. Well, let's start with the studies	10:40:31
regarding the masks from the CDC. Can you please	
explain to me or list those studies from the CDC	
that you relied upon starting in January of 2020?	
MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
THE WITNESS: I can't recall enough to list	10:40:51
studies for you.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Can you recall the contents of any of those	
studies regarding masks from the CDC starting in	
January of 2020?	10:41:04
	other study from the CDC, starting in January of 2020?  MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered. Argumentative.  You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.  THE WITNESS: I can't remember a a specific study. What I can remember is publications around evidence for masking. I can remember studies regarding multiple super-spreader events and the circumstances. I can remember studies regarding or reporting out around vaccination coverage later in the pandemic.  BY MS. GONDEIRO:  Q. Okay. Well, let's start with the studies regarding the masks from the CDC. Can you please explain to me or list those studies from the CDC that you relied upon starting in January of 2020?  MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: I can't recall enough to list studies for you.  BY MS. GONDEIRO:  Q. Can you recall the contents of any of those studies regarding masks from the CDC starting in

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 10 of 139

1	A. I can't recall any specific studies during	
2	that time period from the CDC. If you presented it	
3	to me, I could tell you if I recognized it and	
4	recalled it.	
5	Q. Do you recall any of the publications you	10:41:20
6	you had reviewed from the CDC regarding COVID-19	
7	starting in January of 2020?	
8	A. Can you be a little bit more so can you	
9	restate and be a little bit more specific so I can	
10	make sure I answer your question?	10:41:42
11	Q. Well, earlier you testified that you had	
12	reviewed publications from the from the CDC.	
13	Do you remember that?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Okay. When you said "publications," what	10:41:53
16	publications did you review regarding COVID-19 from	
17	the CDC starting in January of 2020?	
18	A. So, as I mentioned, the CDC regularly	
19	publishes in their MMWR various public health reports	
20	of experiences. Many of these were regarding	10:42:13
21	COVID-19 during the pandemic; and they would come	
22	out, depending on the publication, sometimes weekly.	
23	And I would have been look been looking at those	
24	as well as other publications.	
25	Q. Okay. So you're so what were those other	10:42:33

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 11 of 139

1	publications you're referring to from the CDC?	
2	A. Right. So there would have been other	
3	the other when I say "other publications," I meant	
4	other publications in the medical literature.	
5	Q. So other pub other publications outside	10:42:54
6	of the CDC?	
7	A. Correct.	
8	Q. Okay. So starting in January of 2020, what	
9	other publications did you rely upon outside of the	
10	CDC regarding COVID-19?	10:43:08
11	A. There were many.	
12	Q. Okay. Well, what were those publications	
13	about, starting in January of 2020, regarding	
14	COVID-19 that you can remember?	
15	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Overbroad.	10:43:25
16	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
17	THE WITNESS: So I I cannot give you a	
18	comprehensive answer. I I can remember a	
19	particular study around masking evidence that was a	
20	large study from Bangladesh that had cases it was	10:43:48
21	a large retrospective cohort study that demonstrated	
22	the efficacy of community-wide masking. I can't	
23	remember exactly where it was published. It was not	
24	published by the CDC.	
25	////	10:44:14

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 12 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. So earlier I believe you had testified that	
3	you didn't recall any mask studies.	
4	Do you remember that?	
5	A. No.	10:44:20
6	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. So you that was just limited to	
9	the CDC? You don't recall you don't recall any	
10	mask studies from the CDC?	10:44:28
11	A. That's not correct.	
12	Q. Okay. So you do recall mask studies from	
13	the CDC starting in January of 2020?	
14	A. The CDC would likely have published studies	
15	regarding masking between January 2020 and present.	10:44:43
16	Q. And do you recall those studies?	
17	A. What I what I'm telling you is I can't	
18	specifically recall the details of a particular study	
19	to recite for you.	
20	Q. Sure. I'm not asking for specific details;	10:44:58
21	I'm just asking generally.	
22	What do you recall about those studies from	
23	the CDC?	
24	A. The so I don't recall specific details	
25	about the studies. What I what I do recall is	10:45:12

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 13 of 139

1	that the CDC published studies regarding masking.	
2	Q. Okay. Regarding the study on the Bang	
3	of Bangladesh, was that a randomized controlled	
4	study?	
5	A. That was a randomized controlled trial.	10:45:30
6	Q. Okay. Did that Bangladesh study show	
7	that demonstrate that surgical masks are effective	
8	at curtailing the spread of COVID-19?	
9	A. I don't believe that was a specific question	
10	that was asked in the study.	10:45:51
11	Q. You don't believe it was?	
12	A. I don't I don't think that was a specific	
13	question asked in the study.	
14	Q. So did the study was the study just	
15	limited to N95 masks?	10:46:04
16	A. No.	
17	Q. Okay. So the study did include surgical	
18	masks as well?	
19	A. As I recall, yes.	
20	Q. Okay. And how effective were the masks at	10:46:19
21	curtailing the spread of COVID-19 in this in this	
22	Bangladesh study?	
23	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
24	THE WITNESS: I I can't I can't recall	
25	the statistics well enough to recite them for you. I	10:46:38

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 14 of 139

1	can recall the bottom line.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. Okay. What was the bottom line?	
4	A. The bottom line was a randomized controlled	
5	trial looking at large groups. Communities with	10:46:51
6	masking use and masking reinforcement and masking	
7	messages had lower rates of COVID as compared to	
8	those without those interventions.	
9	Q. And what was the difference? Can you recall	
10	the general percentage of how much the masks	10:47:10
11	prevented the spread of COVID-19?	
12	A. It was a significant difference	
13	demonstrating the protectiveness of community	
14	masking.	
15	Q. Okay. You don't recall the specific	10:47:28
16	percentage, though?	
17	A. I don't.	
18	Q. Even the general? Can you	
19	A. I wouldn't want to to hazard to hazard	
20	a guess.	10:47:39
21	Q. Okay. Was it more than 10 percent?	
22	A. That that's not the way the study would	
23	have can you restate your that's not the way	
24	the study was designed. Like, 10 percent of what? I	
25	don't know your question.	10:47:57

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 15 of 139

1	Q. Did it did it reduce the the did	
2	the people who wore masks in the study reduce the	
3	spread of COVID-19 by more than 10 percent compared	
4	to the individuals who were not wearing masks in the	
5	Bangladesh study?	10:48:15
6	A. So I don't think that's how the question was	
7	asked in the study, so I don't think I can accurately	
8	answer your question.	
9	Q. Okay. So how was	
10	A. It's all cov it's covered in the study,	10:48:26
11	though. It's published. It's available.	
12	Q. Okay. When did this study come out?	
13	A. It came out in the fall, and I I don't	
14	recall exactly when.	
15	Q. Were you aware of any masking studies from	10:48:52
16	any source regarding COVID-19 before the fall of	
17	2020?	
18	A. Yes. I believe there were studies prior to	
19	that, yes.	
20	Q. And what were those studies?	10:49:10
21	A. I can't give you specifics. I I don't	
22	recall specifics.	
23	Q. Well, just generally, what can you recall	
24	about those studies?	
25	A. They would have been studies by around	10:49:23
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 16 of 139

1	aerosols and the behavior of aerosols and masks. I	
2	recall a group of studies in that neighborhood.	
3	There would have been studies around	
4	population-based studies. There would have been	
5	studies around use and prevalence of mask use.	10:49:55
6	Q. I'm going to come back to these these	
7	questions later.	
8	Can you but, first, before I get onto the	
9	next topic, can you please provide me the the	
10	publications of the super-spreader events that you	10:50:28
11	relied upon regarding COVID-19 starting in January of	
12	2020?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Overbroad.	
14	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to list the	
15	studies that I relied on?	10:50:45
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. That you recall, yes.	
18	A. So I I don't without referring to	
19	notes, I can't go back and list studies for you.	
20	Q. Do you recall any details, anything about	10:50:58
21	those studies regarding super-spreader events,	
22	starting in January of 2020?	
23	A. What I recall is that there were multiple	
24	reports of super-spreader events, all reaching the	
25	same conclusion.	10:51:17

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 17 of 139

1	Q. Okay. And what and what was what was	
2	that conclusion?	
3	A. That indoor events where people were not	
4	masked had risk of of sup super-spreading	
5	where multiple people became ill from a single	10:51:39
6	infected person. I also recall that those	
7	super-spreader events would then have an impact on	
8	the surrounding community and spread to others in the	
9	community, including people in long-term care	
10	facilities who then died.	10:52:01
11	Q. So you refer to long-term care facilities.	
12	Where were those long long-term care	
13	facilities located? Do you recall?	
14	A. I do remember a report from this was in a	
15	state in the Midwest where there were outbreaks at a	10:52:29
16	university, and then the same the virus with the	
17	same genomic sequence was then found in long-term	
18	care facility residents in the community, some of	
19	whom had very bad outcomes.	
20	So it essentially was important because it	10:52:55
21	showed that the behavior in one part of the community	
22	can have very, very serious adverse consequences in a	
23	more vulnerable part of the community even though	
24	there's not known contact between the, for example,	
25	university students and residents in the long-term	10:53:19
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 18 of 139

1		1
1	care facility.	
2	So what I remember I remember this	
3	because it demonstrated how important it is to keep	
4	the overall community prevalence low in order to	
5	protect people who are most vulnerable.	10:53:35
6	Q. Okay. Did you ever read Tomas Pueyo's	
7	argument or article called "The Hammer or Dance"	
8	around March of 2020?	
9	A. That does sound familiar.	
10	Q. And what do you recall about this "Hammer or	10:53:51
11	Dance" article?	
12	A. Not a lot of detail apart from that I	
13	I I know that I read it, and it was early in the	
14	pandemic, as I recall.	
15	Q. Okay. What do you remember about that	10:54:13
16	article generally?	
17	A. I I would have to review it to to tell	
18	you.	
19	Q. You don't remember anything about that	
20	article	10:54:26
21	A. I re	
22	Q related to COVID-19?	
23	A. I remember it was an article what do I	
24	remember about that article? I wish I don't I	
25	just I don't remember much about the article. I	10:54:47
		ı

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 19 of 139

1	re I remember that I that I remember that I	
2	read it. I remember his name. I remember that	
3	others had read it.	
4	Q. Do you know who Tomas Pueyo is?	
5	A. I don't know much about Tomas Pueyo, no.	10:55:08
6	Q. Oh. Does Tomas Pueyo have a medical degree?	
7	A. I don't know.	
8	Q. Well, when you reviewed this article that	
9	he he wrote, did you look to see if or did you	
10	research whether he had a medical degree?	10:55:27
11	A. I don't recall.	
12	Q. Okay. Did you know that Tomas Pueyo is	
13	the is the vice president of growth for an online	
14	educa education company called "Course Hero"?	
15	A. No.	10:55:48
16	Q. Okay. Did you did did you ever review	
17	any other article from Tomas Pueyo regarding COVID-19	
18	starting in March of 2020?	
19	A. I don't recall.	
20	Q. Did the public did the County Public	10:56:05
21	Health Department activate the department's	
22	operations center in preparation for COVID-19 in	
23	January of 2020?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And who was director of the department's	10:56:20

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 20 of 139

		ı
1	operations center at starting in January of 2020?	
2	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
3	THE WITNESS: So who was the director of the	
4	department's operations center in January 2020?	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	10:56:38
6	Q. Yes.	
7	A. Who so this I can't remember who our	
8	first incident commander would have been. I don't	
9	I don't remember who our first incident commander	
10	was. And this was the Medical Health Joint	10:56:58
11	Operations Center was the correct title.	
12	Q. Starting in January of 2020, what role did	
13	James Williams have in the de department	
14	operations center?	
15	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	10:57:13
16	THE WITNESS: James is County Counsel.	
17	His his	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Are you aware that he also had a role in the	
20	department operations center starting in January	10:57:26
21	2020?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts and	
23	beyond the scope.	
24	THE WITNESS: Oh, the initial activation was	
25	the Public Health Department's Medical Health Joint	10:57:36

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 21 of 139

1		1
1	Operations Center, which was just within the Public	
2	Health Department. It was not a countywide	
3	activation in January.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Okay. Well, at any time during the COVID-19	10:57:51
6	pandemic, from from January of 2020 to the	
7	present, did James Williams work in the department	
8	operations center?	
9	A. No. James Williams did not work in the	
10	department operations center. James Williams did not	10:58:09
11	work in the Medical Health Joint Operations Center,	
12	which is part of the Public Health Department.	
13	Q. Okay. Well, outside of his role as County	
14	Counsel, did James Williams ever have any other role	
15	related to COVID-19 starting in January of 2020?	10:58:25
16	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	
17	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. And what was that role?	10:58:35
21	A. The Emergency Operations Center of the	
22	County had directors. James was one of those	
23	directors.	
24	Q. Okay. Did the County also activate the	
25	Emergency Operations Center starting in January of	10:58:56

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 22 of 139

		-
1	2020 in response to COVID-19?	
2	A. No.	
3	Q. When did they activate the Emergency	
4	Operations Center regarding COVID-19?	
5	A. February of 2020.	10:59:09
6	Q. Okay. And in what was the role of the	
7	Emergency Operations Center starting in February of	
8	2020 as it relates to COVID-19?	
9	A. To coordinate the countywide response to	
10	COVID-19.	10:59:30
11	Q. What were what was the specific tasks of	
12	the Emergency Operations Center starting in January	
13	of 2020 as or February as it related to COVID-19?	
14	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	
15	But you can answer, Dr. Cody.	10:59:45
16	THE WITNESS: The the tasks changed	
17	throughout the pandemic. They would change	
18	frequently depending on what was going on.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Okay. What were the tasks at the beginning	10:59:57
21	of the pandemic, starting in February of 2020?	
22	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: What were the specific tasks	
24	of the Emergency Operations Center	
25	////	11:00:17

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 23 of 139

```
1
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
         0.
 2
              Yes.
              -- in February of 2020?
 3
         A.
         0.
 4
              Yes.
 5
         A.
              You know, I don't recall our specific tasks
                                                              11:00:20
     and objectives at that point in the pandemic. I
 6
 7
     would just be -- I would -- it would be -- I would be
     speculating.
 8
 9
         0.
              Okay. Well, what specific tasks do you
10
     recall the Emergency Operations Center conducting
                                                              11:00:33
     after February 2020 as it relates to COVID-19?
11
12
              MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.
13
              THE WITNESS: Tasks? Objectives? Goals?
14
     Can you restate your question?
    BY MS. GONDEIRO:
15
                                                               11:00:58
16
         0.
              Do you know what I mean when I say "task"?
17
         A.
              Not -- no, I don't.
18
              Okay. I mean, what was their job duty?
         0.
19
     What was -- what did they -- what were their -- were
     their daily activities as it related to COVID-19?
20
                                                              11:01:11
21
     That -- that's what I mean when I say -- when I say
22
     "task." And so let me -- let me repeat this
23
     question.
24
              What were the -- what was the job duty of
25
     the Emergency Operations Center at -- after February
                                                              11:01:26
```

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 24 of 139

```
1
     of 2020 as it relates to COVID-19?
              MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.
 2
 3
              THE WITNESS: There were many -- there were
     many, many jobs; so I don't -- I can't answer your
 4
 5
     question because I don't --
                                                              11:01:46
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
 6
 7
         0.
              What job --
 8
         A.
              -- it doesn't make sense.
 9
         Q.
              What job duties do you remember?
10
                                                              11:01:53
         A.
              Whose job?
              Let's start with James Williams. What was
11
         0.
     his job duties at the Emergency Operations Center as
12
     it related to COVID-19?
13
14
              MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.
15
              THE WITNESS: James served as one of the
                                                              11:02:13
     directors of the EOC.
16
17
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
18
              Okay. What was his job duties as director
         0.
19
     of the Emergency Operations Center starting in
2.0
     February of 2020?
                                                              11:02:24
2.1
              MR. WALL: Same objection.
22
              THE WITNESS: The job of the director is to
23
     oversee all of the various components of the
24
     response.
     /////
                                                              11:02:41
25
```

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 25 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. How often did you consult with James	
3	Williams in his capacity as the director of the	
4	Emergency Operations Center starting in February of	
5	2020 regarding COVID-19?	11:02:50
6	A. It would depend on the time.	
7	Q. Okay.	
8	A. James Williams was not always the director,	
9	so it would have depended on whether he was serving	
10	as director or not.	11:03:11
11	Q. Was James Williams director of the Emergence	y
12	Operations Center in February?	
13	A. Not for all of February, no.	
14	Q. Okay.	
15	A. For some days.	11:03:22
16	Q. Okay. Was he was he the Emergency Op	
17	director of the Emergency Operations Center during	
18	the summer of 2020?	
19	A. At at on some days, yes.	
20	Q. Well, on the days that he was director of	11:03:36
21	the Emergency Operations Center, from February	
22	through the summer of 2020, how often did you consul	.t
23	with him regarding COVID-19?	
24	A. On the days that he was director, I would	
25	have consulted with him on those days.	11:03:55

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 26 of 139

		1
1	Q. Okay. And what were those discussions	
2	about?	
3	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
4	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
5	THE WITNESS: They would have been about all	11:04:08
6	manner of the County's COVID response.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Can you can you get be a little bit	
9	more specific when you say "matters of the" "of	
10	the COVID-19 response"?	11:04:25
11	A. The we were looking at data to understand	
12	the impact. We were in contact with hospitals	
13	regarding the impact. There were many components of	
14	the response to to ensure the safety of the	
15	community.	11:04:52
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. I'm going to pull up	
17	Exhibit 8, Dan.	
18	(Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)	
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Stand by.	
20	MR. WALL: I'm sorry. Mr was that	11:05:13
21	Mr. DeFrank? We can't	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah.	
23	MR. WALL: hear you.	
24	I'm sorry. You you were you didn't	
25	I didn't hear what you said. Could you please repeat	11:05:18

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 27 of 139

1		1
1	yourself?	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah. I I I just	
3	said, "Stand by."	
4	MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.	
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yep.	11:05:24
6	There you go.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Do you recognize this order, Dr. Cody?	
9	A. I can't see the date on the order.	
10	MR. WALL: Is there a way that we can drop	11:05:42
11	the exhibit into the chat so that Dr. Cody can open	
12	it locally?	
13	THE WITNESS: I can just see about	
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, yeah.	
15	THE WITNESS: half a half a page.	11:05:56
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Right.	
17	THE WITNESS: That's better.	
18	MS. GONDEIRO: And then	
19	MR. WALL: Again, can we if we're going	
20	to ask the witness questions about the exhibit, I'd	11:06:14
21	like to drop a copy into the chat	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right.	
23	MR. WALL: so she can open it locally and	
24	look at all the pages so she's familiar with what the	
25	document is.	11:06:22
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 28 of 139

1		1
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right.	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: I can actually just go to the	
4	specific page, but	
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me get out of share	11:06:27
6	so I can put it in the chat, and then I'll open it.	
7	So just it's going to take an extra step. That's	
8	all.	
9	MR. WALL: No. Thank you. I appreciate it.	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No problem.	11:06:35
11	MR. WALL: Thanks, Mr. DeFrank.	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, no problem.	
13	Okay. And it's in chat, and it's on the	
14	screen.	
15	MR. WALL: Thank you.	11:07:14
16	Dr. Cody, can you can you find the	
17	document in chat? You can open it there.	
18	THE WITNESS: Whoops.	
19	MR. WALL: I don't I don't want to hear	
20	"oops."	11:07:26
21	THE WITNESS: No. I I'm not	
22	MR. WALL: If you if you click on the	
23	chat icon at the bottom of your window	
24	THE WITNESS: Right.	
25	MR. WALL: for me, it pulls up a window	11:07:36

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 29 of 139

1		1
1	that has Exhibit 8.pdf.	
2	THE WITNESS: Right.	
3	MR. WALL: You can	
4	THE WITNESS: And I have opened it, and	
5	then whoops. I'm not this is this is a	11:07:44
6	little bit of a difficult interface. If you'd just	
7	give me a minute.	
8	MR. WALL: Sure. We'll get it right the	
9	first time, and it will be easier every time after	
10	that.	11:08:00
11	THE WITNESS: Okay. I now have it available	
12	from the chat.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
14	MR. WALL: Oh, it's not opening.	
15	THE WITNESS: I have it on on my screen	11:08:18
16	where I can	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Okay. Do you recognize this order,	
19	Dr. Cody?	
20	A. Yes.	11:08:25
21	Q. What was the purpose of this order?	
22	A. To protect the public.	
23	Q. To protect the public?	
24	A. To protect the public from infection and	
25	hospitalization and death.	11:08:44

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 30 of 139

1	Q. Why did you believe, starting in March of	
2	2020, that a shelter-in-place order was necessary?	
3	A. We had evidence of exponential growth of the	
4	virus and infections.	
5	Q. And where did you get this evidence from	11:09:03
6	that demonstrated this this exponential growth of	
7	COVID-19?	
8	A. From reports of cases.	
9	Q. Reports of cases when you say "reports of	
10	cases," are you talking about reports of cases in	11:09:22
11	Santa Clara County?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Okay. What entities were considered	
14	essential pursuant to this shelter-in-place order?	
15	MR. WALL: Objection. The document speaks	11:09:37
16	for itself.	
17	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to read from	
18	the document?	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Well, I don't I don't believe it lists	11:09:47
21	all all the entities that were essential.	
22	I'm just asking you, what what entities	
23	were essential did you declare were essential	
24	in in this shelter-in-place order?	
25	MR. WALL: Same objection.	11:10:07
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 31 of 139

		1
1	THE WITNESS: We we didn't we had	
2	definitions of essential business and essential	
3	infrastructure, and there was one other definition.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. It says in the title that this order	11:10:33
6	prohibited nonessential gatherings.	
7	What was an essential gathering pursuant to	
8	this shelter-in-place order?	
9	A. I'm just reviewing the title of the order.	
10	Just one moment.	11:10:51
11	MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls	
12	for a legal conclusion.	
13	But, Dr. Cody, you can answer the question	
14	if you understand it.	
15	THE WITNESS: The title of this order does	11:11:10
16	not refer to gath no. Pardon me. I can you	
17	ask your question one more time?	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Yes.	
20	You'll see in the title there that it	11:11:22
21	that it prohibits all nonessential gatherings of any	
22	numbers of individuals.	
23	You see where it says that	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q at the end?	11:11:33

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 32 of 139

1	Okay. What	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. What did the County consider to be an	
4	essential gathering at this time?	
5	MR. WALL: Same objection.	11:11:41
6	THE WITNESS: The the purpose of the	
7	order was to limit contact between people to the	
8	greatest degree possible; however, I also I did	
9	understand that people needed food, shelter, and	
10	basic medical care. And that that was really how	11:12:04
11	this was organized.	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. Okay. You didn't answer my question.	
14	I'm asking, what constituted an essential	
15	gathering pursuant to this order?	11:12:19
16	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered,	
17	to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, and	
18	argumentative.	
19	THE WITNESS: I'm going to look in the order	
20	so I can be precise and look for the definition.	11:12:33
21	So number 4, "All public and private	
22	gatherings for any number of people occurring outside	
23	a household or living unit are prohibited, except for	
24	the limited purposes as expressly permitted in	
25	Section 10. Nothing in this Order prohibits the	11:13:14
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 33 of 139

1		1
1	gathering of members of a household unit or living	
2	unit."	
3	And Section 10. So Section 10 Section 10	
4	would detail the the limited pur the limited	
5	purposes for which people could come together outside	11:13:50
6	of their household.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. Why did the County determine that	
9	critical infrastructure infrastructure was	
10	essential in this order?	11:14:11
11	A. Which section are you looking at? Just I	
12	want to make sure that I'm precise.	
13	Q. Okay. Well, it just says that in the in	
14	the title. It says that critical infrastructure was	
15	essential.	11:14:29
16	What included what did critical	
17	infrastructure infrastructure include?	
18	MR. WALL: Objection. The order speaks for	
19	itself.	
20	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to look at the	11:14:43
21	definition so that I can be precise for you.	
22	The the general idea would be that there	
23	were services that everyone in the community would	
24	need. For example, have their electricity on, to	
25	have water come into their place of habitation, to be	11:15:09
		I

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 34 of 139

		1
1	able to access food. Food, shelter, medical care,	
2	and anything necessary to have, you know, a safe a	
3	safe place to live.	
4	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
5	THE WITNESS: So and to the extent that	11:15:29
6	something supported or is needed to ensure food,	
7	shelter, and medical care would have been included.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Why did the County not consider religious	
10	services to be essential?	11:15:42
11	A. We were thinking about really basic services	
12	that everyone living in the County would need, and	
13	that would include food, shelter, medical services,	
14	and anything to support that, would apply to everyone	
15	living in the County.	11:16:07
16	Q. Okay. Why did the County then include	
17	government agencies as essential in this order?	
18	MR. WALL: Objection. The order speaks for	
19	itself.	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: No. I'm asking her why.	11:16:22
21	MR. WALL: No, I understand. The question	
22	has embedded an interpretation or of the order.	
23	That's just for the purposes of preserving the	
24	objection. I apologize.	
25	Go ahead. You can answer the question,	11:16:33

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 35 of 139

1	Dr. Cody. The objection stands.	
2	THE WITNESS: Sure.	
3	So government agencies, for example, provide	
4	health and safety, law enforcement; so they would be	
5	necessary to continue. Fire	11:16:49
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. What else would you	
8	A. Fire, law, you know, bas sort of, again,	
9	within the realm of basic health and safety.	
10	Q. Okay. So you you you determined that	11:17:00
11	County when you say "law," what do you mean?	
12	A. Law enforcement.	
13	Q. Okay. What about the legal department?	
14	A. To the extent that that's needed to support	
15	food, shelter, medical care, basic health and safety,	11:17:21
16	and law, then then then yes.	
17	Q. Why didn't the County consider the spiritual	
18	benefits of attending a religious service in this	
19	shelter-in-place order?	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	11:17:43
21	THE WITNESS: The shelter-in-place order was	
22	to protect people from hospitalization and death,	
23	which was extremely important to protect to	
24	protect people from dying.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	11:18:08

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 36 of 139

1	THE WITNESS: And I wanted, and felt it was	
2	necessary, to limit contact between people from	
3	different households to prevent spread and prevent	
4	death.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	11:18:22
6	Q. Sure.	
7	Are there people from different households	
8	contacting that were con within within	
9	contact of one another in these essential government	
10	agencies that were deemed essential pursuant to this	11:18:33
11	health order?	
12	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
13	THE WITNESS: Can you restate your question?	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. You said that you that this the goal	11:18:45
16	was to prevent hospitalizations; correct?	
17	A. (Nodding head.)	
18	Q. And you also said that that was by	
19	preventing contact between people with different	
20	households; correct?	11:19:02
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. Okay. Well, do people within gover are	
23	there people from different households who work for	
24	government the essential government agencies	
25	pursuant to this order?	11:19:12

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 37 of 139

		1
1	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Assumes	
2	facts.	
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. As as I stated, some	
4	activities have to continue to ensure that people	
5	have their basic needs met.	11:19:25
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. Okay.	
8	A. Yeah.	
9	Q. So you were determining that what was	
10	important you so you determined that church or	11:19:33
11	religious services were not important enough to be	
12	considered essential?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Misstates	
14	testimony.	
15	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	11:19:46
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The purpose of the	
17	order the top-level purpose of the order was to	
18	present prevent spread of infection and resulting	
19	hospitalization and death. And everyone however,	
20	everyone in the County still needs to eat, have	11:20:04
21	shelter, and and obtain health care for you	
22	know, broadly.	
23	And any other activity that didn't support	
24	that and might contribute to community spread and	
25	exponential spread, we didn't want to occur because	11:20:28

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 38 of 139

1		1
1	we wanted to protect everyone in the County from	
2	hospitalization and death.	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. Are you aware that religious services have	
5	religious functions outside of religious gatherings?	11:20:45
6	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
7	THE WITNESS: Can can you ask your	
8	question a bit more specifically?	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Yeah.	11:20:56
11	I'm just asking, are you aware that, you	
12	know, religious services, you know, have functions in	
13	addition to church in addition to religious	
14	gatherings? Like, there are other ways that people	
15	exercise their religion outside of religious	11:21:14
16	gatherings?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Okay. What are those ways that you're aware	
19	of?	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	11:21:25
21	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
22	THE WITNESS: A sense sense of community.	
23	Are you talking about times ask your	
24	question again.	
25	////	11:21:43

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 39 of 139

		1
1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Sure.	
3	Are you aware that religious services have	
4	functions religious functions outside of religious	
5	gatherings such as a prayer meeting?	11:21:56
6	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Outside the	
7	scope.	
8	But you can answer, Dr. Cody.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm still not sure I	
10	I'm not quite sure about your question.	11:22:08
11	So religious a church might gather for a	
12	prayer meeting or inside a church building. Is that	
13	your question?	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Yes.	11:22:22
16	Are you are you aware that that there	
17	are religious services that will con that that	
18	conduct prayer services or prayer meetings?	
19	MR. WALL: Objection. Beyond the scope.	
20	THE WITNESS: Are you asking if if I'm	11:22:39
21	aware that churches would conduct services outside of	
22	a church building?	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. No. Prayer meetings, whether in in the	
25	meeting in the church or outside the church.	11:22:49
		1

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 40 of 139

1	A. Yes, I'm aware that that some churches	
2	might conduct prayer meetings outside of their church	
3	building.	
4	Q. Okay. Can we go to Section (d)?	
5	So it says in Section (d), starting on	11:23:24
6	line 4, it says, "Further, nothing in this Order	
7	shall prohibit any" "any individual from	
8	performing or accessing 'Essential Government	
9	Functions, ' as determined by the governmental entity	
10	performing those functions. Each governmental entity	11:23:39
11	shall identify and designate appropriate employees or	
12	contractors to continue providing and carrying out	
13	any Essential Government Function."	
14	Why did the County give governmental	
15	entities discretion to follow the shelter-in-place	11:23:59
16	order?	
17	MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls	
18	for a legal conclusion. The document speaks for	
19	itself.	
20	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	11:24:10
21	THE WITNESS: And can you repeat your	
22	question?	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. Yes.	
25	Based upon what I read, I'm asking, why did	11:24:16

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 41 of 139

		1
1	the County give essential government or	
2	governmental offic government governmental	
3	entities discretion to follow the shelter-in-place	
4	order?	
5	MR. WALL: Same objections.	11:24:33
6	THE WITNESS: We can't possibly know the	
7	details of operations to be able to give more	
8	specific direction.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. So it says here that each government that	11:24:50
11	the essential government function is determined by	
12	the governmental entity performing those functions.	
13	So I'm just trying to figure out how how	
14	did that work? Did the did did the	
15	government governmental entities have to reach out	11:25:06
16	to the County and explain why they believed they were	
17	essential?	
18	A. No.	
19	Q. Okay. So how is this applied, then?	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. And objection	11:25:24
21	to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.	
22	Dr. Cody, you can answer the question if you	
23	understand.	
24	THE WITNESS: So, for example, city law	
25	enforcement	11:25:38

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 42 of 139

1	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
2	THE WITNESS: would know better than the	
3	County about what they needed what they could and	
4	couldn't do. Like, how how to perform an arrest,	
5	for example, is one of their functions. We couldn't	11:25:53
6	know what they could what they could and couldn't	
7	do in order to perform an arrest. So that would be	
8	one of their functions.	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
10	THE WITNESS: Right?	11:26:11
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. Were they required, though, to follow up	
13	with you to let you know to explain why they	
14	believed that they could not fully comply with this	
15	order to do this to perform their job function,	11:26:20
16	or or did you just give the government officials	
17	discretion to determine whether they had that they	
18	need they they needed to abide by this order	
19	without the need to follow up with the County?	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Objection to	11:26:42
21	the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.	
22	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
23	THE WITNESS: Right. So "nothing in this	
24	Order shall prohibit any individual from performing	
25	or accessing 'Essential Government Functions,' as	11:26:50

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 43 of 139

1	determined by the governmental entity performing	
2	those functions."	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. Okay. So aside from enforcement, what other	
5	governmental functions were essential in the County	11:27:03
6	starting in March of 2020?	
7	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Objection to	
8	the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I I can't	
10	define you want me what is it that you want me	11:27:20
11	to define?	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. I want you to that you can recall, what	
14	governmental functions were determined to be	
15	essential starting in March of 2020, that you can	11:27:33
16	recall?	
17	A. So these would have been governmental	
18	entities within the County, which would largely have	
19	included city governments, portions of county	
20	governments, or other, you know, other other	11:27:54
21	governments; right? So the idea was scope down as	
22	much as you can, no you know, as few interactions	
23	as possible; however, governments have to perform	
24	some functions such as law enforcement that's	
25	essential to the functioning of society.	11:28:18

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 44 of 139

	1
Q. Sure.	
Okay. You didn't answer my question.	
So I'm asking, in addition to law	
enforcement, what specific government functions were	
determined to be essential starting in March of 2020?	11:28:30
I'm asking for specific government functions.	
A. Right.	
MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls	
for a legal conclusion. Objection. The order speaks	
for itself.	11:28:44
Dr. Cody, you can answer.	
THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
Essential governmental functions as	
determined by the governmental entity performing	
those functions.	11:28:51
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
Q. Yes. I'm asking you, though, to to	
explain to me what what government what	
government functions were determined to be essential,	
that you can recall, starting in March of 2020.	11:29:03
A. Right.	
MR. WALL: Same objections. Also objection	
on the basis to the extent it calls for speculation.	
THE WITNESS: We did not define the	
essential governmental functions; they were	11:29:17
	Okay. You didn't answer my question.  So I'm asking, in addition to law enforcement, what specific government functions were determined to be essential starting in March of 2020? I'm asking for specific government functions.  A. Right.  MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. Objection. The order speaks for itself.  Dr. Cody, you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  Essential governmental functions as determined by the governmental entity performing those functions.  BY MS. GONDEIRO:  Q. Yes. I'm asking you, though, to to explain to me what what government what government functions were determined to be essential, that you can recall, starting in March of 2020.  A. Right.  MR. WALL: Same objections. Also objection on the basis to the extent it calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: We did not define the

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 45 of 139

		7
1	determined by the governmental entity performing the	
2	function.	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. No. I I understand that. I'm just	
5	you you recall that that law enforcement. You	11:29:26
6	gave an example of law enforcement.	
7	A. Uh-huh.	
8	Q. So so you're aware that they were	
9	determined to be that they determined that they	
10	were essential; correct?	11:29:38
11	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	
12	Calls for speculation. To the extent it calls for a	
13	legal conclusion as well. And the order speaks for	
14	itself.	
15	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	11:29:48
16	THE WITNESS: Right. So the governmental	
17	entity would determine what services they had to	
18	provide for health and safety.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Okay. So in addition to law enforcement,	11:30:03
21	I'm asking for specific government functions that	
22	were determined to be essential by those governmental	
23	entities, that you can recall.	
24	MR. WALL: Same objection same	
25	objections. Excuse me.	11:30:22
		1

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 46 of 139

1	THE WITNESS: I recall that most cities	
2		
	would have preserved the fire, their capacity to	
3	respond to fire or other emergencies.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. What about the County? What County	11:30:39
6	government governmental functions were determined	
7	to be essential starting in March of 2020?	
8	MR. WALL: Objection. Same objections.	
9	THE WITNESS: It wouldn't have been my I	
10	wouldn't have determined the County functions. I	11:31:01
11	don't run the County.	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. I'm not asking you if you determined.	
14	I'm asking, what what governmental	
15	entities, that you can recall, were determined to be	11:31:13
16	essential from by the County regardless of whether	
17	that decision was made by you?	
18	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
19	Otherwise, same objections as stated before.	
20	THE WITNESS: Our Emergency Operations	11:31:32
21	Center and the the County resources needed to	
22	staff the Emergency Operations Center to respond to	
23	the COVID pandemic	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Okay.	11:31:46
		1

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 47 of 139

		1
1	A would be one example.	
2	Q. What other examples do you remember?	
3	A. Within the Public Health Department, we	
4	continued to register births and deaths. You know,	
5	our like our very, very, very core	11:32:12
6	responsibilities to serve the community were	
7	preserved.	
8	Q. Okay. What other examples do you remember?	
9	A. County fire, county sheriff, county	
10	hospital	11:32:39
11	Q. Okay.	
12	A a subset of county clinics, parts of	
13	behavioral health services.	
14	Q. When you say "behavioral health services,"	
15	what type of services do you mean?	11:32:59
16	A. They oversee provision of mental health care	
17	and care for persons with substance use disorders.	
18	Q. And did you determine or did the County	
19	determine that these behavioral services were	
20	essential because you because they predicted that	11:33:28
21	there were going to be people who needed these	
22	services during the COVID-19 pandemic?	
23	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
25	MR. WALL: Assumes facts. Calls for	11:33:40
		I

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 48 of 139

		1
1	speculation. The order speaks for itself. And I'd	
2	object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.	
3	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
4	THE WITNESS: Many of the services that I	
5	have described, if not most, were not performed in	11:33:52
6	person. So many of these services, to the greatest	
7	extent possible, were performed remotely.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Sure. But if the gov if the	
10	governmental entity determined that they needed to be	11:34:07
11	in person, based upon this order, could they meet in	
12	person?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls	
14	for a legal conclusion, objection based on the fact	
15	that the order speaks for itself, and objection on	11:34:20
16	the grounds of speculation.	
17	You can answer, Dr. Cody.	
18	THE WITNESS: Could you restate your	
19	question?	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	11:34:29
21	Q. Yes.	
22	If if the behavioral services department	
23	determined that they needed to be in person, pursuant	
24	to this order, would they have the permission to meet	
25	in person?	11:34:41
		ı

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 49 of 139

1		1
1	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
2	THE WITNESS: So I don't recall how	
3	behavioral services went. I I do recall that most	
4	of the work that they did, wherever possible, was	
5	done remotely, and that would be true throughout the	11:34:58
6	County, to protect the workforce and the community.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. So you don't recall	
9	MR. WALL: Ms. Gon Ms. Gondeiro, just	
10	when you finish this line of questioning, can we take	11:35:10
11	a break, please? It's been it's been more than an	
12	hour.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. I just want to get	
14	through just one really quick exhibit.	
15	MR. WALL: Well, I think an exhibit is	11:35:20
16	actually the perfect point for a break.	
17	THE WITNESS: I would love a break.	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Okay. But one one last question. I just	
20	want to make sure we went through all the examples.	11:35:29
21	Do you recall any other examples of	
22	essential government functions starting in March of	
23	2020?	
24	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	
25	Vague. The order speaks for itself. Calls for	11:35:42
		l

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 50 of 139

		7
1	speculation.	
2	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody, if	
3	you understand it.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The essential	
5	government function would have been determined by the	11:35:54
6	governmental entity within the bounds of doing	
7	everything possible to do things remotely to limit	
8	interactions between people to prevent spread and	
9	protect the community.	
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	11:36:13
11	Q. Okay. You didn't answer my question.	
12	I'm aware of what the order says now. I'm	
13	asking you to provide me specific examples, other	
14	examples that you can recall of essential government	
15	functions starting in March of 2020.	11:36:25
16	MR. WALL: Same objections. Additionally,	
17	argumentative and asked and answered.	
18	THE WITNESS: And you're asking me about	
19	within the County government?	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	11:36:37
21	Q. Yes. Within within the County	
22	government, yes.	
23	A. Those those are the examples that that	
24	I can think of. I would not have been and I don't	
25	recall detail of, you know, exactly how	11:36:50

# 

1		1
1	departments I can tell you more about my	
2	department than other departments.	
3	Q. Okay. What about the County Counsel's	
4	office, were they determined to be a essential	
5	government function starting in March of 2020?	11:37:09
6	MR. WALL: Same objection. The order speaks	
7	for itself. To the extent it calls for excuse	
8	me a legal conclusion and to the extent it calls	
9	for speculation.	
10	THE WITNESS: To to the extent that	11:37:26
11	County Counsel was needed to advise regarding the	
12	pandemic response, they yes. We needed counsel to	
13	help advise in the pandemic response.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. And do you recall, starting in March of	11:37:44
16	2020, that they provided advice in person to the	
17	Department of Public Health?	
18	MR. WALL: Ob objection to the	
19	relevance. The order speaks for itself. To the	
20	extent it calls for speculation.	11:38:02
21	You can and outside the scope.	
22	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
23	THE WITNESS: As I recall, most County	
24	Counsel were remote and were not in person.	
25	////	11:38:15

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 52 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Okay. So you said "most." Were there some	
3	times where that you can recall where officials	
4	from the County Counsel's office met in person to	
5	provide advice to the County Public Health Department	11:38:26
6	starting in March of 2020?	
7	MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls	
8	for speculation. Relevance.	
9	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11:38:39
11	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. We can stop there.	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This marks	
13	the end of Volume I, Media 1, in the deposition of	
14	Dr. Sara Cody on August 18th, 2022.	
15	Going off the record. The time is 11:38.	11:38:58
16	(Recess taken.)	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This marks	
18	the start of Volume I, Media 2, in the deposition of	
19	Dr. Sara Cody on August 18th, 2022.	
20	We are back on the record. The time is	11:58:31
21	11:58.	
22	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you please pull up	
23	Exhibit 9?	
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.	
25	(Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.)	11:58:42
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 53 of 139

1	MS. GONDEIRO: And, Dr. Cody, it is al	
2	provided in the chat; so you can pull that up.	
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
4	MR. WALL: Thanks. Thanks, Mariah.	
5	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	11:58:53
6	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
7	Q. Dr. Cody, do you remember getting a thank	
8	you letter via email around or on April 30th,	
9	2020, from the Associated Builders and Cons	
10	Contractors Northern California Chapter?	11:59:11
11	A. I don't recall receiving the email, but I do	
12	see it here.	
13	Q. Okay. Do you know why you received a thank	
14	you letter from the Associated Builders and	
15	Contractors Northern California Chapter and its	11:59:29
16	nearly 500 essential construction and	
17	construction-related firms?	
18	A. I do not.	
19	Q. Okay. Did the County consider construction	
20	to be essential during the COVID-19 pandemic or	11:59:43
21	starting in March of 2020?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to	
23	"essential." Objection to the extent it calls for a	
24	legal conclusion.	
25	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	11:59:53

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 54 of 139

1		1
1	THE WITNESS: Can you restate your question?	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. Yes.	
4	Did the County consider construction to be	
5	essential anytime on or around March of 2020?	12:00:02
6	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
7	THE WITNESS: No.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Do you know why, then, this this email	
10	says "essential construction"?	12:00:20
11	A. No.	
12	Q. Do you know why you were included on this	
13	email?	
14	A. I'm a Bay Area health officer.	
15	Q. Okay. So at no point did the did the	12:00:40
16	County consider construction or any	
17	construction-related firms to be essential in April	
18	of 2020?	
19	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to meaning	
20	of "essential." Vague to the extent it calls for a	12:00:54
21	legal conclusion.	
22	THE WITNESS: Ask your restate your	
23	question.	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Yes.	12:01:05

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 55 of 139

1	Did the County ever consider any con any	
2	construction-related firm to be essential in April of	
3	2020?	
4	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
5	THE WITNESS: At some time, and I would have	12:01:17
6	to refer to my documents to tell you exactly,	
7	construction that would support, like, basic needs	
8	was allowed. Right. So if there was a project that	
9	was, again, within the as I spoke before, that	
10	would support a basic need, as I recall, we enabled	12:01:43
11	some of that to take place with safety measures in	
12	place.	
13	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
14	Q. When you say "safety measures," what were	
15	those safety measures that were required to be in	12:01:58
16	place?	
17	A. Specific to?	
18	Q. Specific to the construction sites.	
19	A. So anything that we allowed, we would have	
20	been requiring implementation of the safety measures	12:02:19
21	that we knew to be protective at the time, which	
22	would have fallen under categories of how to improve	
23	ventilation, how to ensure proper mask use.	
24	Q. Uh-huh.	
25	A. Later, testing. Symptom checks.	12:02:38
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 56 of 139

		ı
1	Q. Uh-huh.	
2	A. Exclusion of people who were ill.	
3	Q. Uh-huh.	
4	A. Maintaining a distance between people.	
5	Safety measures.	12:02:56
6	Q. Were construction workers that that were	
7	deemed essential, starting in April of 2020, required	
8	to follow social distancing and masking requirements	
9	at all times while they were working?	
10	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	12:03:12
11	THE WITNESS: Any entity that was	
12	functioning to support, you know, basic health and	
13	safety would have been required to implement the	
14	safety measures that we knew to be protective at the	
15	time. So any and that would be to protect the	12:03:37
16	workers and protect the community around them. So	
17	distancing, masking, improving ventilation, excluding	
18	ill workers, that sort of thing.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. If an essential construction company or	12:03:58
21	or firm decided that it was just not feasible for	
22	them to be able to perform their job function while	
23	wearing a mask and/or social distancing, were they	
24	given the discretion to remove their mask or not	
25	socially distance?	12:04:19
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 57 of 139

1	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
2	Incomplete hypothetical. Calls for speculation and	
3	calls and to the extent it calls for a legal	
4	conclusion.	
5	But you can answer, Dr. Cody.	12:04:28
6	THE WITNESS: So we would have had specific	
7	instructions for safety for and if a particular	
8	sector needed more specific instructions as to how to	
9	stay safe, they would have been required to implement	
10	those instructions.	12:04:46
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. And so you're saying "instructions."	
13	Were they required? Were essential	
14	construction companies, starting in April of 2020,	
15	required to always socially distance and wear a mask?	12:05:00
16	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts. Calls	
17	for a legal conclusion as addressed in the orders.	
18	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, they would have been	
20	required to adhere to the guidance that pertained to	12:05:18
21	their sector.	
22	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
23	Q. So did the guidance that pertained to	
24	construction companies, starting in April of 2020,	
25	require essential construction companies at all times	12:05:30

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 58 of 139

1	to wear a mask and socially distance?	
2	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. I I those	
4	instructions changed over time. And I believe, by	
5	April, they would have required masking, social	12:05:51
6	distancing, handwashing, sanitation, and other	
7	measures to keep the workers and those around them	
8	safe.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Were you aware, starting in April of 2020,	12:06:06
11	that there were COVID-19 outbreaks occurring at	
12	construction sites?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
14	THE WITNESS: There were outbreaks reported	
15	from multiple sectors, and I don't recall timing.	12:06:20
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. I'm asking specific to construction sites.	
18	Were you aware that there were COVID-19 outbreaks	
19	occurring at construction sites starting in March	
20	or April of 2020?	12:06:38
21	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't specifically recall	
23	when I became aware of outbreaks at construction	
24	sites. There were outbreaks at many, you know	
25	many different places.	12:06:50
		1

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 59 of 139

```
1
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
              Well, at any time after April of 2020, did
 2
     you become aware that there were outbreaks occurring
 3
     at construction sites -- or COVID-19 outbreaks
 4
 5
     occurring?
                                                              12:07:04
              At any time after April 2020, were there
 6
         A.
 7
     outbreaks at construction sites --
 8
         Q.
              Were you --
 9
         A.
              -- that I was aware of?
10
         0.
              Yes.
                                                              12:07:09
         A.
11
              Yes.
              (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)
12
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
13
14
              Okay. Can we move on to the Exhibit --
         0.
15
     actually, before we move on to Exhibit 10, Dr. Cody,
                                                              12:07:14
16
     do you know what a seroprevalence study is?
17
         A.
              Yes.
18
         0.
              What is it?
19
              It's a measure -- a study to draw blood and
         A.
20
     measure antibodies in a population to see what -- as
                                                              12:07:35
21
     a marker of what the prevalence of the disease might
22
     be.
23
         0.
              Were you aware of the seroprevalence study
24
     Dr. Bhattacharya conducted in April of 2020, or
25
     sometime around that time, regarding COVID-19 in
                                                              12:07:55
```

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 60 of 139

1	Santa Clara County?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. What was the conclusion of	
4	Dr. Bhattacharya's seroprevalence study?	
5	A. I don't recall the the the specific	12:08:09
6	conclusions of the study.	
7	Q. Well, what do you recall about the study?	
8	A. The study was looking at what was the	
9	seroprevalence at a point in time in the County. I	
10	recall they were trying to I don't recall about	12:08:34
11	their I think there was an issue with their	
12	sampling, which would make it difficult to draw	
13	conclusions. I recall that the seroprevalence that	
14	they documented in their sample was fairly low.	
15	Q. And so what does that mean?	12:08:57
16	A. That would mean that only a small portion of	
17	people in the study and, by extension, people in the	
18	County, would have been infected with COVID at that	
19	time.	
20	Q. Okay. Did you agree with the findings in	12:09:19
21	Dr. Bhattacharya's seroprevalence study?	
22	A. I can't I can't I can't speak to the	
23	findings. I don't know what you mean by "agree."	
24	They found what they found.	
25	Q. Well, did you agree with it, or did you	12:09:38

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 61 of 139

1	did you have any issue with it? Did you think there	
2	were issues with the studies? Did you think it was	
3	inaccurate?	
4	A. I actually didn't think it was particularly	
5	relevant.	12:09:53
6	Q. Okay. That didn't answer my question.	
7	Did you think the study was inaccurate?	
8	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
9	Argumentative.	
10	THE WITNESS: So the the I can't	12:10:07
11	remember about how they chose their sample. I think	
12	there were some questions around the sampling, and	
13	there may have been questions at that time, there	
14	was a lot of work going on regarding antibody tests	
15	and what we could conclude from antibody tests, but	12:10:28
16	depending on what kind of antibody tests. So I	
17	remember there was discussion around that, and I	
18	remember there was discussion around the sampling.	
19	But the the purpose, as I recall, was to	
20	understand the prevalence of COVID in the population.	12:10:45
21	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
22	Q. So you mentioned "relevant" earlier.	
23	Why did you believe that the seroprevalence	
24	study was not that Dr. Bhattacharya conducted was	
25	not relevant?	12:11:01
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 62 of 139

1	A. The issue at the time was that most of the	
2	population was not immune to COVID, was susceptible,	
3	meaning that they were at risk, potentially, of	
4	severe illness and death. And so whether it was	
5	2 percent of the population had evidence of infection	12:11:25
6	or 4 percent or 6 percent or 8 percent didn't matter	
7	as much as the fact that the vast majority of people	
8	living in the County at that time were not protected	
9	from COVID, that had not been infected, were	
10	susceptible and at risk.	12:11:52
11	Q. Did you have evidence from other sources	
12	that around this time Dr. Bhattacharya conducted	
13	this study, that the vast majority of individuals in	
14	Santa Clara County were not infected?	
15	A. I don't recall any other seroprevalent	12:12:05
16	studies at that time apart from the one that you	
17	reference.	
18	Q. Do do you recall any other seroprevalence	
19	studies that were conducted in California regarding	
20	COVID-19?	12:12:22
21	MR. WALL: Object. Vague as to time frame.	
22	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
24	Can you tell me a time frame?	
25	////	12:12:33
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 63 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2		
	Q. Yeah.	
3	Starting in April of 2020, did you become	
4	aware of any other seroprevalence studies that were	
5	conducted in California?	12:12:41
6	A. April 2020 through when?	
7	Q. Since April of 2020.	
8	A. Through present?	
9	Q. Yes, through through present.	
10	A. There certainly have been seroprevalence	12:12:52
11	studies since then in California, and but it	
12	depends on the time; right? Because now, in	
13	California, the seroprevalence looks quite different	
14	than it would have in the spring of 2020.	
15	Q. Uh-huh. Okay. So what what studies do	12:13:17
16	you remember?	
17	A. There's a study looking at seroprevalence	
18	among dialysis patients, and I believe part of the	
19	sample includes California. I don't specifically	
20	recall.	12:13:38
21	Q. And what was the when was that study	
22	conducted?	
23	A. It was conducted after April of 2020. It	
24	may have been conducted at several points in time.	
25	I I don't have those details. I don't recall	12:13:52

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 64 of 139

1	those details.	
2	Q. Was it around the summer of 2020?	
3	A. I think it would have been later.	
4	Q. What were the findings of that dialysis	
5	seroprevalence study?	12:14:09
6	A. As I mentioned, I don't recall specific	
7	details.	
8	Q. Are you aware of any other seroprevalence	
9	study that was conducted around the summer of 2020	
10	regarding COVID-19?	12:14:23
11	A. I I I don't recall any at the at	
12	the moment.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. We're going to skip	
14	Exhibit 10 and move on to Exhibit 11.	
15	(Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)	12:14:44
16	MR. WALL: Dr. Cody, that's in the chat too.	
17	If you if you look, you'll be able to pull up a	
18	copy.	
19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Hold on. This is	
20	Exhibit what number?	12:15:05
21	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, Exhibit 11.	
22	And, Dan, while she's pulling that up, can	
23	you please put the next three exhibits in the chat	
24	box just so we can conserve time?	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.	12:15:23
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 65 of 139

		1
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'll need to get out of	
3	chat here to do that I mean the share.	
4	THE WITNESS: I have the exhibit.	
5	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. You can pull it up,	12:16:04
6	Dan, the	
7	Okay. Can you scroll down to there we	
8	go.	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Dr. Cody, do you remember receiving a	12:16:20
11	MS. GONDEIRO: Actually, this is not the	
12	correct is this Exhibit 11 or Exhibit 12?	
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is	
14	MR. WALL: This is this is what I have	
15	is Exhibit 11, Mariah.	12:16:43
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is 11. It's the one	
17	that you sent me earlier.	
18	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Is there a new version?	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, there was a there	12:16:53
21	was a corrected version of the let of Exhibit 11.	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: After the ones you sent	
23	to me?	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Yeah, but	
25	MR. WALL: Okay. I can get out and track it	12:17:03

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 66 of 139

		1
1	down if you want me to.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Yeah, but I guess in the	
3	in the meantime, we can we can talk about this	
4	specific email.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:17:14
6	Q. Dr. Cody, around April 3rd or on April 3rd,	
7	2020, do you recall getting this email from Sandy	
8	Schwarcz?	
9	A. I don't I don't recall this email. I can	
10	see the email, but I would not have been able to	12:17:28
11	recall it.	
12	Q. Okay. Who is Sandy Schwarcz?	
13	A. I don't know. Someone at Alameda County.	
14	Q. Okay. It reads she she writes to you	
15	that, "If controls were left through March, then the	12:17:45
16	second wave would start in August and peak in	
17	October. Earlier lifting means a second wave in June	
18	and peak at end of August."	
19	Did you agree or did you agree at that	
20	time with that conclusion?	12:18:08
21	A. So this email wasn't sent to me by Sandy.	
22	It looks like it was sent to me by Erica Pan. And it	
23	looks like she is summarizing some studies that she	
24	reviewed and sending along Sandy and I don't know	
25	whether Sandy is a him or her their conclusions	12:18:40

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 67 of 139

1	based on the based on the studies.	
2	Q. So during Octo or April of 2020, did you	
3	believe that if you delayed in lifting your	
4	shelter-in-place order, that you could delay a	
5	resurgence?	12:19:09
6	A. What what I knew from various models and	
7	discussions and observations about the way the virus	
8	was behaving in other, you know, places was that	
9	anything that allowed more contact between people	
10	more opportunity to share air space and additional	12:19:30
11	contacts between people would create more infections	
12	and a resurgence.	
13	Q. Why did the County extend their April	
14	shelter-in-place or their March shelter-in-place	
15	order?	12:19:54
16	A. To prevent a resurgence of COVID and to	
17	prevent spread and to prevent severe illness and to	
18	prevent death and to prevent long-term disability	
19	among our residents.	
20	Q. So to delay a you mentioned just so I	12:20:11
21	get this right, you extended the shelter-in-place	
22	order to delay a resurgence.	
23	And when did you expect a resurgence to	
24	occur?	
25	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	12:20:31

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 68 of 139

1	Vaque as to "resurgence."	
2	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
3	THE WITNESS: Can you restate your question?	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Starting so you said that you extended	12:20:40
6	the shelter-in-place order to delay another	12.20.40
7		
	resurgence.	
8	When did you want to delay the resurgence	
9	by, or when did you expect the delay to expire?	
10	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates testimony.	12:20:56
11	THE WITNESS: In in spring of 2020, in	
12	March and April, there were very few tools to protect	
13	the public.	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
15	THE WITNESS: We didn't have widespread	12:21:11
16	testing. We had a nationwide shortage of masks.	
17	We we didn't understand a lot of properties of the	
18	virus, and there were very few tools available to	
19	protect the public and to prevent disease and	
20	hospitalization, overwhelm of the hospitals, death,	12:21:36
21	and long-term disability.	
22	So we lacked a lot of information, and we	
23	lacked a lot of tools. And so our job was to protect	
24	the public from these very bad outcomes until such	
25	time as we could reasonably put in other mitigation	12:21:55

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 69 of 139

1	measures and protect them.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. By extending the shelter-in-place order in	
4	April of 2020, was it the County's goal to delay	
5	another resurgence resurgence after until after	12:22:11
6	the summer of 2020?	
7	A. Our we did not know what would happen	
8	next as that was unknowable; however, what we did	
9	know was, at that time, we had very few resources or	
10	tools or strategies available. We had no treatments.	12:22:37
11	We had no vaccines. We had a nationwide shortage of	
12	masks. We had no very few tests available.	
13	There were very few tools to ensure people's	
14	protection and to ensure that our hospitals wouldn't	
15	be overrun with COVID, and we people need access	12:22:59
16	to medical care for COVID and for any other things,	
17	and we had very, very few tools.	
18	What we did know was that the more that	
19	people interacted with each other without protections	
20	in place, the more infections, the more	12:23:18
21	hospitalizations, and the more deaths would result.	
22	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, I would like to	
23	go to Exhibit 12.	
24	(Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.)	
25	MR. WALL: And, Dr. Cody, that's in the	12:23:32

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 70 of 139

1	chat.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you go can you scroll	
3	down to the bottom, Dan?	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Okay. Dr. Cody, do you recall reviewing a	12:23:55
6	study by the Johns Hopkins University showing that	
7	COVID-19 was spreading rapidly and easily?	
8	A. I don't remember this particular one, but I	
9	remember seeing a lot of data showing rapid spread.	
10	Q. Well, what studies do you recall, during	12:24:26
11	January of 2020, demonstrating that COVID-19 was	
12	spreading rapidly and easily?	
13	A. I'm having a hard time opening this exhibit,	
14	so just give me a minute.	
15	Okay. I've got the exhibit up. Can you	12:24:59
16	restate your question?	
17	Q. Yes.	
18	What what studies did you review in	
19	January of 2020 showing that COVID-19 was spreading	
20	rapidly and easily?	12:25:11
21	A. I recall looking at reports from Northern	
22	Italy where they were seeing exponential growth and	
23	an overwhelming number of hospitalizations and, just	
24	in general, reports from around the world where COVID	
25	was spreading. Where there were reports, you could	12:25:43

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 71 of 139

1	see the it was behaving in a very worrisome	
2	fashion. The viral spread was very worrisome with	
3	exponential growth.	
4	Q. When you became aware of this knowledge in	
5	January of 2020, why didn't you implement your	12:26:01
6	shelter-in-place order then?	
7	A. We in January 2020, we lacked a lot of	
8	information, primarily because we didn't have testing	
9	available	
10	Q. Uh-huh.	12:26:22
11	A to understand what the scope and	
12	magnitude of the problem was.	
13	Q. Sure.	
14	Earlier it was your testimony that	
15	Santa Clara County had become an early hot spot for	12:26:32
16	COVID-19.	
17	Do you remember saying that?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. And that was in January of 2020?	
20	A. Yes.	12:26:43
21	Q. And how did you what studies did you rely	
22	upon or what evidence did you rely upon to show that	
23	Santa Clara County had become an early COVID-19 hot	
24	spot in January 2020?	1
25	A. What I was referring to when I used that	12:26:59

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 72 of 139

1	word was that we were one of the first jurisdictions	
2	in the country to report cases.	
3	Q. Uh-huh.	
4	A. So one of the first jurisdictions to report	
5	a case, and then we had subsequent cases after that.	12:27:11
6	But I also just want to underline there was	
7	testing was not widely available, so it was very	
8	difficult to understand how many infections they were	
9	and what the pattern looked like. So this was a	
10	little bit of information with that was very	12:27:36
11	worrisome.	
12	Q. But in January of 2020, you were aware that	
13	COVID-19 was a disease that spread rapidly and	
14	easily; correct?	
15	A. By January 2020, we were getting reports	12:27:50
16	from other places in the world, and those patterns	
17	looked quite worrisome, that's correct.	
18	Q. You didn't answer my specific question.	
19	I'm asking, were you aware in January of	
20	2020 that COVID-19 was a disease that spread rapidly	12:28:04
21	and easily?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. By late January, there	
24	was so much that was unknown. I think there was	
25	still a lot of uncertainty about how rapidly it	12:28:24

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 73 of 139

1	spread and how it spread, a lot of uncertainty at	
2	that time.	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. You mentioned, though, in January of 2020	
5	you reviewed a report from Northern Italy showing	12:28:35
6	that COVID-19 was spreading rapidly and easily.	
7	Do you re recall?	
8	A. No. Actually, I if I can just correct	
9	that. I don't think that would have I can't	
10	remember, but that probably wasn't January. That was	12:28:50
11	probably later that things really took off in	
12	Northern Italy. So I I I think that may have	
13	been later and not in January. I'm trying to recall	
14	what we knew in January.	
15	Q. So regarding this Johns Hopkins study that	12:29:07
16	was sent to you in January of 2020, do you re do	
17	you recall reviewing this study?	
18	A. So this was not a study. This was a map	
19	that Johns Hopkins was producing.	
20	Q. Uh-huh. And what did the map demonstrate?	12:29:31
21	A. The map the it was mapping where cases	
22	were occurring in the United States and in other	
23	places in the world.	
24	Q. Did it demonstrate that COVID-19 was fast	
25	was growing rapidly and easily?	12:29:52
		I

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 74 of 139

1	A. At what in what time?	
2	Q. At the time this was published.	
3	A. At the time this was so at the time that	
4	I got this email on Thursday, January 23rd, what did	
5	the map show?	12:30:09
6	Q. Yeah.	
7	Did did the map you were just telling	
8	me that you're clearly aware that you know, that	
9	this was a map showing COVID-19 cases.	
10	And what I'm what I'm asking is, at the	12:30:20
11	time that this map was published, did it demonstrate	
12	that COVID-19 was spreading rapidly and easily?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: Um	
15	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	12:30:31
16	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
18	So I don't remember I remember looking	
19	I remember following the maps that Johns Hopkins	
20	produced. I remember I remember them. I do not	12:30:41
21	remember what the map would have looked like on	
22	Thursday, January 23rd, 2020, to have known what I	
23	would have concluded from the pattern I saw on the	
24	map. This would have been before we reported our	
25	first travel-associated case.	12:31:02

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 75 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Were you aware at from in early	
3	February or around February that COVID-19 was	
4	spreading rapidly and easily?	
5	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	12:31:17
6	THE WITNESS: By early February 2020, we had	
7	reported two travel-associated cases and our first	
8	case of community transmission, an individual who got	
9	COVID and we didn't know where they got it.	
10	So by early February, we were seeing some	12:31:46
11	very concerning signals. Unfortunately, there was	
12	very little testing in the United States and very	
13	little available to us to be able to document just	
14	how it was spreading. So we had early bad signs and	
15	a lot of missing data.	12:32:11
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Okay. But this this map from Johns	
18	Hopkins University demonstrates that based upon	
19	this email, it demonstrated that COVID-19 was growing	
20	faster than than people had expected; is that	12:32:28
21	correct?	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts. The	
23	document speaks for itself.	
24	THE WITNESS: So it looks like the news	
25	article concludes that the numbers may be growing	12:32:45
24	THE WITNESS: So it looks like the news	12:3

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 76 of 139

		1
1	faster than national sources had known. And, again,	
2	this would have been cases that we could ascertain,	
3	and you could only ascertain a case if you can test	
4	for it. So it would have been concerning.	
5	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:33:10
6	Q. Were you concerned when you read this	
7	when you looked over this map by the Johns Hopkins	
8	University at this time?	
9	A. Yes, I I would have been concerned.	
10	Q. And why were you concerned?	12:33:23
11	A. That we had a novel virus that seemed to be	
12	spreading quickly across the world and that we had a	
13	lot of a lot of missing information.	
14	Q. Okay. So then that so you so you	
15	stated that it was spreading rapidly.	12:33:45
16	So your you believed, in January of 2020,	
17	COVID-19 was spreading quickly?	
18	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
20	MR. WALL: Asked and answered.	12:34:01
21	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
23	In January 2020, there was a lot of	
24	information that we did not have and because there	
25	wasn't very much testing. We looked at the	12:34:15
		I

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 77 of 139

1	information that we did have to try to discern what	
2	the patterns were and to try to understand what this	
3	meant and what the impact would be.	
4	MS. GONDEIRO: Sure. Earlier you you	
5	mentioned that the that it was spreading quickly,	12:34:32
6	but we'll we'll move on to the next exhibit.	
7	(Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. This is a field report regarding "Crisis	
10	decision-making at the speed of COVID-19."	12:34:50
11	Dr. Cody, do you recall putting together	
12	this field report with other county health officers	
13	in the Bay Area?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. What was the purpose of this field report?	12:35:10
16	A. To share our experience with with others	
17	primarily in the public health community.	
18	Q. Did you want to be able to provide insight	
19	to people in the future as to what to do when there	
20	is another or if there is ever another pandemic?	12:35:33
21	A. Our goal was really to share share our	
22	experience in the Bay Area with others in the public	
23	health community.	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, can you scroll	
25	down to 031198? That's the Bates number page.	12:35:52
		ı

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 78 of 139

1	Okay. I think go up a little bit.	
2	Okay. That's that's good. Actually, up	
3	a little bit more. There we go.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. So about the third paragraph, it starts	12:36:20
6	with, "As a team, we decided to issue	
7	shelter-in-place orders within 24 hours."	
8	Do you see that paragraph?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And it said, "The shelter-in-place orders	12:36:35
11	achieved important objectives: flatten the curve of	
12	cases, hospitalizations; provide time for hospital	
13	systems to prepare for future sur-" "surges;	
14	provide time to learn about SARS-CoV-2; (4) provide	
15	time to build capacity for wide-" "widespread	12:36:54
16	testing, case investigation, contact tracing; and (5)	
17	provide time for study and development of	
18	pharmaceutical therapeutics and " "and vaccines."	
19	Does this summarize the the County's goal	
20	for their shelter-in-place order that was implemented	12:37:14
21	in March of 2020?	
22	A. For the most part.	
23	Q. Is there any other goal, aside from the	
24	the factors that were listed here, that that the	
25	County hoped to achieve through their	12:37:32

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 79 of 139

shelter-in-place order?	
A. I I think I think this is you know,	
pretty pretty much pretty much covers it. It's	
a lot of that there was so much unknown, and there	
needed to be more time to ensure that we could	12:37:55
effectively protect the public, and this this	
details it.	
Q. Okay. Did the County have a backup if	
that the vaccines did not work?	
MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	12:38:11
You can answer the question, Dr. Cody, to	
the extent you understand it.	
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't I don't	
think I quite understand your question.	
BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:38:20
Q. It says here on number 5, it says,	
"provide time for study and development of	
pharmaceutical therapeutics and vaccines."	
Was the County prepared for the event that	
vaccines were not able to be developed or were not	12:38:32
effective at curtailing the spread of COVID-19?	
A. Well, I think it's important to remember	
that we weren't man the entire country was at	
risk, the entire state, the entire country. It was	
not a unfortunately, County can't develop	12:38:51
	A. I I think I think this is you know, pretty pretty much pretty much covers it. It's a lot of that there was so much unknown, and there needed to be more time to ensure that we could effectively protect the public, and this this details it.  Q. Okay. Did the County have a backup if that the vaccines did not work?  MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.  You can answer the question, Dr. Cody, to the extent you understand it.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't I don't think I quite understand your question.  BY MS. GONDEIRO:  Q. It says here on number 5, it says, "provide time for study and development of pharmaceutical therapeutics and vaccines."  Was the County prepared for the event that vaccines were not able to be developed or were not effective at curtailing the spread of COVID-19?  A. Well, I think it's important to remember that we weren't man the entire country was at risk, the entire state, the entire country. It was

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 80 of 139

1	pharmaceuticals or vaccines.	
2	Q. Okay. That didn't answer my question.	
3	I'm asking, did you did the County have a	
4	backup in the event that the COVID-19 vaccines were	
5	never developed?	12:39:09
6	A. Our goal at the County was to protect the	
7	people living and working in Santa Clara County with	
8	the tools that we had available and to learn as much	
9	as we could about how the virus was evolving and	
10	behaving and adjusting as necessary to ensure	12:39:31
11	protection of the population.	
12	Q. Okay. You're still not answering my	
13	question.	
14	I'm asking, did the County have a backup	
15	plan in the event that the State or whoever was not	12:39:42
16	able to develop a COVID-19 vaccine?	
17	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
18	Argumentative.	
19	THE WITNESS: The County and the entire	
20	country didn't know what was ahead, you know. This	12:40:03
21	virus was spreading everywhere.	
22	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
23	Q. That doesn't answer my question.	
24	I'm just asking, did you did you have a	
25	backup plan if the COVID-19 vaccines did not work?	12:40:13
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 81 of 139

1	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
2	Argumentative.	
3	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, she she hasn't	
4	answered my question yet.	
5	THE WITNESS: The other nonpharmaceutical	12:40:27
6	interventions to protect the population: testing,	
7	masking, ventilation, social distancing, improving	
8	ventilation. All of those things were what we would	
9	have had available to use and would have would	
10	have had to continue to use, in some form or fashion,	12:40:49
11	to protect the population absent other measures to	
12	protect people.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: We can move on to the next	
14	slide or the next exhibit.	
15	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.)	12:41:09
16	MS. GONDEIRO: You can scroll down.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. This is an email from Sara Cody to Tomas	
19	Aragon and other health officials.	
20	So, in the middle of paragraph 2, it	12:41:22
21	reads or you write, Dr. Cody, "Done early, we	
22	could maximize benefit. Done even a little bit	
23	later, we would get the same harms but much less	
24	benefit. So if we were going to do something that	
25	drastic, the sooner the better."	12:41:42
		1

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 82 of 139

1	What did you mean when you when you said	
2	that?	
3	A. So the novel coronavirus, COVID the	
4	SARS-CoV-2 virus, we were seeing exponential growth;	
5	and with exponential growth and few tools, a shelter	12:41:57
6	in place would protect people the most.	
7	Q. Uh-huh.	
8	A. And asking the population to shelter in	
9	place would have social and economic harms. If you	
10	do a shelter in place early, if you have exponential	12:42:19
11	growth, you can save many lives. If you do it later,	
12	you will save fewer lives, and you will have the same	
13	economic and social harms as if you had done it even	
14	a few days earlier.	
15	So the point I was making was that the harms	12:42:38
16	would be the same, and the benefit would be much	
17	greater done earlier given that we were seeing	
18	exponential growth.	
19	Q. Looking back in	
20	MR. WALL: Ms Ms. Gondeiro, just one	12:42:53
21	ques it's as we're speaking about exponential	
22	growth, my hunger is growing exponentially.	
23	MS. GONDEIRO: Sure. Yeah. We're	
24	MR. WALL: It's about 12:45.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: We we	12:43:01
		1

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 83 of 139

		1
1	MR. WALL: So if we could find a lunch	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: We can stop after this	
3	MR. WALL: an appropriate lunch break.	
4	MS. GONDEIRO: Yeah, after this exhibit.	
5	I'm almost done.	12:43:06
6	MR. WALL: Thanks.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. In hindsight, because hindsight is to 20/20,	
9	do you believe the County should have been	
10	implemented their shelter-in-place order earlier?	12:43:14
11	A. There was a balance of of data. There	
12	needed to be enough data and enough evidence in order	
13	to act.	
14	Q. Do you believe if the County implemented	
15	their shelter-in-place order earlier, they would have	12:43:34
16	saved more lives?	
17	MR. WALL: Objection to the extent it calls	
18	for speculation.	
19	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	12:43:49
21	Restate your question.	
22	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
23	Q. Do you believe, looking back and with the	
24	information you have now, that if that if the	
25	County implemented their shelter-in-place order	12:43:58

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 84 of 139

1		
1	earlier, let's say in January when when you were	
2	aware that COVID-19 was a disease that spread	
3	rapidly, would it have prevented more deaths?	
4	MR. WALL: Same objection. Assumes facts.	
5	Misstates testimony.	12:44:16
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, this is this is	
7	what what I would I would just be	
8	speculating. There has to be weighing, ha having	
9	evidence to do something in order to do it. So there	
10	was, as I mentioned	12:44:37
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. I'm just asking for your opinion.	
13	A. There was no testing available to know what	
14	was happening in January of Feb	
15	Q. Well	12:44:44
16	A or February.	
17	Q. Sure.	
18	But looking back, you know	
19	A. Uh-huh.	
20	Q you had stated earlier that you were	12:44:47
21	aware that COVID-19 was a disease that spread	
22	quickly.	
23	Did you state that earlier?	
24	A. Yes. We have learned that COVID-19 spreads	
25	quickly.	12:45:00

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 85 of 139

1	Q. Okay. So with that knowledge, do you	
2	believe that if you had implemented your	
3	shelter-in-place order in January of 2020, would you	
4	have prevented more deaths?	
5	A. Possibly. We would not have been able to	12:45:17
6	implement a shelter in place with having only	
7	identified one or two travel-associated cases. It	
8	would have been impossible to implement, so it's just	
9	a hypothetical. We would not be able to implement	
10	without evidence to show as to why it was necessary.	12:45:34
11	We could not see those patterns in January of 2020.	
12	Q. Sure. I'm not asking what you saw in 2020.	
13	I'm asking what you know now.	
14	What you know now, if you would have	
15	implemented a shelter-in-place order in 20	12:45:54
16	January of 2020, would you have prevented more	
17	deaths?	
18	MR. WALL: Objection. Incomplete	
19	hypothetical. Assumes facts. Calls for speculation.	
20	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	12:46:08
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
22	The reason I'm having difficulty answering	
23	your question is because there is an interplay of an	
24	action and then what you can tell people so they	
25	understand why you're doing the action.	12:46:25
		1

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 86 of 139

1	So if everyone would have acted as they did	
2	in March and acted the same way as they did in	
3	January, yes, more lives could would have been	
4	saved. But I don't know that people would have acted	
5	the same way without having documented that there was	12:46:39
6	ex that there was exponential growth occurring.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. When you refer to "social harms" in	
9	this email, what social harms are you referring to?	
10	MR. WALL: Objection. Misstates the email	12:47:02
11	which speaks for itself.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: The email doesn't speak for	
13	itself. I she doesn't list what social harms	
14	she's talking about.	
15	MR. WALL: It says it says "same harms."	12:47:11
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Or or sorry.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. Well, what do you mean by "harms"?	
19	A. When people shelter in their place of	
20	residence, they can't participate in, you know, other	12:47:22
21	activities in life apart from those that are, you	
22	know, the very basic necessities.	
23	Q. Okay. When you when you refer to	
24	"harms," are you also referring to mental harms?	
25	A. Well, people who the humans like to be	12:47:46

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 87 of 139

1	with other humans.	
2	Q. Uh-huh.	
3	A. And so keeping you know, not being able	
4	to be with other humans is is is difficult.	
5	The trade-off is that being with other humans can put	12:48:02
6	people at risk of hospitalization and death. So	
7	Q. Uh-huh.	
8	A it was a very, very, very difficult	
9	difficult time.	
10	Q. Were you concerned at this time, when you	12:48:18
11	implemented the shelter-in-place order, that there	
12	would be a spike in in mental health issues in the	
13	County?	
14	A. My my concern was that without shelter in	
15	place, there would be a sharp uptick in	12:48:39
16	hospitalizations and death, and that would have quite	
17	significant harm in addition to the hospitalizations	
18	and deaths because of what family and community and	
19	loved ones would experience when so many people were	
20	so sick or or dying. So that that was	12:49:00
21	certainly a concern that I was quite worried about.	
22	Q. Were you concerned that the shelter-in-place	
23	orders would increase depression in the County?	
24	A. I was concerned and I understood that we	
25	were making a very difficult decision and very	12:49:19

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 88 of 139

		1
1	difficult trade-offs and that our my top concern	
2	was about immediate loss of life from infection in a	
3	population that had really essentially no no	
4	protection at all and that it felt it felt urgent	
5	and extraordinarily difficult.	12:49:51
6	Q. When the County implemented their	
7	shelter-in-place order in March of 2020, did they	
8	implement any measures to combat any any mental	
9	health issues that may be have been increasing	
10	because of the shelter-in-place orders?	12:50:07
11	A. You know	
12	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
13	But you can answer the question.	
14	And and, Mariah, again, the urgency of	
15	our lunch needs are growing as well.	12:50:17
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Yeah, and I'm almost	
17	done. I just I want to end off on this exhibit.	
18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Just one more time	
19	with your question.	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:50:27
21	Q. Yes.	
22	When the County implemented their	
23	shelter-in-place order in March of 2020, did they	
24	implement any any measures to combat the increase	
25	in mental health issues?	12:50:35

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 89 of 139

1	MR. WALL: Same objection. Outside the	
2	scope.	
3	THE WITNESS: We were mindful of the many	
4	different impacts of shelter in place and sought to	
5	do whatever we could to mitigate those impacts to the	12:50:53
6	extent that we had ability to do so.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. Well, what did you do to mitigate the	
9	impacts of a rise in mental health issues?	
10	MR. WALL: Same objection.	12:51:08
11	THE WITNESS: At at the time that we	
12	issued the shelter in place, I don't recall that we	
13	had a a knowledge of a rise in mental health	
14	issues specifically.	
15	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:51:22
16	Q. Sure.	
17	But I think earlier didn't you say that you	
18	were you were concerned about mental health	
19	issues?	
20	A. No. I I was concerned broadly about a	12:51:29
21	whole host of impacts that that people would	
22	experience when they were not doing their usual	
23	activities that they're accustomed to broadly. So	
24	mental health would be part you know, potentially	
25	part of that.	12:51:53

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 90 of 139

1	Q. Okay. So and specific to mental health,	
2	were you concerned that there would be an increase in	
3	mental health issues when you implemented the	
4	shelter-in-place order?	
5	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	12:52:05
6	THE WITNESS: I would have been concerned	
7	about mental health as well as other impacts that	
8	people would experience as a result of sheltering in	
9	place.	
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:52:18
11	Q. Okay. What other impacts in addition to	
12	mental health were you worried about?	
13	A. How people behave when they are at home and	
14	not able to do their usual activities, people just	
15	all the usual activities of daily living that people	12:52:41
16	are not able to do with the, you know, enormous	
17	change.	
18	Q. Sure.	
19	Were were you concerned that there	
20	would that people would feel lonely due to the	12:52:51
21	shelter-in-place orders?	
22	A. I was concerned about these extraordinarily	
23	difficult trade-offs. I was concerned that people	
24	would be were feeling afraid of a virus and of	
25	people who were ill and dying. I was concerned about	12:53:12
		İ

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 91 of 139

1	people not being able to do their daily routines and	
2	daily activities. You know, there were many, many	
3	extremely it was a very, very difficult time.	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	MR. WALL: Mariah, can we take our lunch	12:53:32
6	break now? I don't	
7	MS. GONDEIRO: I just want an answer because	
8	she hasn't	
9	MR. WALL: I hate to ask for three times.	
10	I know, but I	12:53:35
11	MS. GONDEIRO: I know, but she hasn't	
12	answered my question.	
13	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
14	Q. So, Dr. Cody, you	
15	MR. WALL: She's answered about a dozen	12:53:39
16	questions.	
17	MS. GONDEIRO: No.	
18	MR. WALL: I'm concerned about the lack of	
19	courtesy here when we need breaks on this side.	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: Robin	12:53:46
21	MR. WALL: We're entitled to the courtesy of	
22	a break.	
23	MS. GONDEIRO: I'm going to give you a	
24	break after this I'm going to give you a break	
25	after this email. I would like to finish this email.	12:53:51

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 92 of 139

		1
1	MR. WALL: This this is	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: So the next so and the	
3	next exhibit, we can move on to the next exhibit.	
4	MR. WALL: How many more questions do you	
5	have?	12:53:58
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, she keeps	
7	MR. WALL: I'm asking you a question: How	
8	many more questions?	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Well, she's not directly	
10	answering my question.	12:54:05
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. Dr. Cody	
13	MR. WALL: So answer your ask your	
14	question one more time, and then we're taking a lunch	
15	break.	12:54:08
16	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
17	Q. Dr. Cody	
18	MR. WALL: It's almost 1:00.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Dr. Cody, early okay. You had just	12:54:10
21	you stated earlier that among the things that you	
22	were concerned about, you were concerned about mental	
23	health issues.	
24	So what measures did the County put in place	
25	to address the mental health issues that you were	12:54:25

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 93 of 139

r		1
1	concerned about?	
2	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to time	
3	frame. Asked and answered. Beyond the scope.	
4	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
5	THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, we were	12:54:37
6	concerned about a whole host of impacts in addition	
7	to COVID, and the County, as part of responding to	
8	COVID, sought to mitigate some of those impacts	
9	broadly.	
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:54:58
11	Q. How did they what measures did they put	
12	in place to mitigate the impacts of mental health	
13	issues starting in March of 2020?	
14	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
15	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	12:55:10
16	Q. You're not answering my question.	
17	MR. WALL: Add argumentative to the list.	
18	Same objections.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. I'm not asking asking you to restate the	12:55:18
21	fact that the County generally put in measures to	
22	mitigate impacts.	
23	I'm asking you, what specific measures did	
24	the County put in place to mitigate the effects of	
25	mental health issues?	12:55:30

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 94 of 139

		1
1	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
2	THE WITNESS: I I I would observe that	
3	in our shelter-in-place order, we enabled health care	
4	operations broadly construed so that people could	
5	continue to receive mental health care if if they	12:55:50
6	sought it and and needed it, among among other	
7	measures.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Sure.	
10	And did you consider that religious services	12:56:02
11	would be important for people's mental health?	
12	A. People would be able to consult with	
13	their with their religious community. There was	
14	nothing to keep them from doing that if that was	
15	going to be helpful to them.	12:56:23
16	Q. But were religious services considered	
17	essential in your shelter-in-place order?	
18	A. We sought to ensure that everyone was safe.	
19	People could many activities were conducted	
20	remotely to ensure everyone's safety. So a lot of	12:56:41
21	activities continued in remote fashion. They just	
22	couldn't occur in person.	
23	Q. We can we can get to lunch if you just	
24	answer my question.	
25	MR. WALL: No, Mariah. You've gone three	12:56:55

#### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 95 of 139

```
1
     questions beyond your question.
              MS. GONDEIRO: No, because the problem is --
 2
              MR. WALL: The deposition -- let me --
 3
              MS. GONDEIRO: -- is that Dr. Cody is not
 4
 5
     answering my question.
                                                              12:57:01
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
 6
 7
         0.
              Dr. Cody, I'm asking you, were religious
 8
     services considered essential in your
 9
     shelter-in-place order? It's a very simple question.
              MR. WALL: Ms. Gondeiro, asked and answered.
10
                                                              12:57:08
11
     It's three --
12
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
              It's "yes" or "no."
13
         0.
14
              MR. WALL: Are you going to let me talk on
15
     the record and state my objection for the record?
                                                              12:57:11
16
     And you're not going to interrupt me, both because
17
     it's impolite, it's unprofessional, and because the
18
     reporter needs to take this down.
19
              This deposition is not an endurance contest
20
     for counsel or the witness. It's inappropriate to
                                                              12:57:23
21
     drag us past a polite and professional request for a
22
     break until you've decided that we should stop. It's
23
     almost 1:00 now.
24
              Dr. Cody can answer the question that she's
25
     answered before, and then we are going to take a
                                                              12:57:38
```

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 96 of 139

1	break.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. Okay. Dr. Cody, in March of 2020, in the	
4	shelter-in-place order, were religious services	
5	considered essential?	12:57:50
6	MR. WALL: Asked and answered.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. It's a simple question.	
9	A. Right. In the shelter-in-place order, we	
10	define the basic services that everyone living in the	12:58:00
11	County needs to have for food, for shelter, and for	
12	medical care. And medical care is construed very	
13	broadly to include physical and mental health care,	
14	and there was nothing that would prevent anyone from	
15	seeking health care for their body or for their	12:58:26
16	mental health.	
17	Q. Was religious services considered to be	
18	health care pursuant to the shelter-in-place order?	
19	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
20	Let's take a break, Mariah, and you can come	12:58:39
21	back and ask this question four more times after the	
22	break. But she's you've asked it three times,	
23	she's answered it as many times, and it's time for a	
24	lunch break. It's 1:00.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: No. This no.	12:58:50

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 97 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Dr. Cody, I've asked you this question, and	
3	you're not answering it.	
4	Were religious services considered health	
5	care in the shelter-in-place order? This is a really	12:58:57
6	simple question.	
7	MR. WALL: It's also the sixth new question.	
8	You can ask it after	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: No, it's not because she's	
10	not answering the question.	12:59:05
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. Are religious services considered health	
13	care in the shelter-in-place order?	
14	MR. WALL: Please mark the transcript as	
15	please mark this section of the transcript. This is	12:59:13
16	inappropriate behavior.	
17	I'm instructing the witness not to answer.	
18	She can answer after we take a lunch break. Thank	
19	you.	
20	MS. GONDEIRO: Robin, that is inappropriate.	12:59:23
21	You cannot instruct her not to answer a question.	
22	MR. WALL: Mariah, this is not an endurance	
23	contest. You you need	
24	MS. GONDEIRO: Robin, this deposition would	
25	go by easier if she answered the questions. These	12:59:33

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 98 of 139

1	are very simple questions.	
2	MR. WALL: And she's been answering them	
3	question after question.	
4	Fifteen minutes ago, you said we were ready	
5	to break. You've now departed far beyond the email.	12:59:47
6	You've departed far beyond the one additional	
7	question that you wanted an answer to. You've	
8	departed on a new track, which is fine, and we have	
9	no objection to you asking these questions. I have	
10	object	12:59:58
11	MS. GONDEIRO: I just I just want her to	
12	answer.	
13	Okay. Here's the thing: We can go on lunch	
14	break if she answers whether religious services were	
15	considered health care.	13:00:04
16	MR. WALL: You can ask that when she gets	
17	back.	
18	MS. GONDEIRO: Do you get to decide when the	
19	breaks are? Is that how this works?	
20	MR. WALL: Yes. In part, yes. Absolutely.	13:00:14
21	Absolutely, in part. Counsel on both sides and the	
22	witness, the court reporter, the videographer, we all	
23	get to decide when there are breaks when we need	
24	them.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: No. I have	13:00:25

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 99 of 139

		1
1	MR. WALL: It's 1:00.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: I am asking her to come back	
3	on and answer this question, and then we can go on	
4	break. I just want an answer to the question.	
5	MR. WALL: Take it up with the judge if you	13:00:29
6	need to, Mariah. The witness is not coming back.	
7	We're taking a lunch break now. It's 1:00. I've	
8	been asking for 15 minutes. Come on.	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We can go off the record?	13:00:45
11	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This we	
13	are going off the record. The time is 1:00.	
14	(Lunch recess taken.)	
15	(Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)	13:48:55
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're back on the	
17	record. The time is 1:49.	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. Dr. Cody, in the March 2020 shelter-in-place	
20	order, were in-person worship gatherings considered	13:49:24
21	essential?	
22	A. The March 2020 shelter-in-place order	
23	describes functions that can occur regardless of who	
24	is doing them, and gatherings are not not allowed	
25	because of the risk of of COVID in the community	13:49:48

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 100 of 139

1	at the time.	
2	Q. Okay. And when you say "gatherings," does	
3	that include religious gatherings?	
4	A. It includes gatherings of any type for	
5	any for any reason.	13:50:04
6	Q. Okay. How effective was the suppression	
7	strategy in March of 2020 in protecting Santa Clara	
8	County during the summer of 2020?	
9	A. How protective was the March order?	
10	Q. How effective was that suppression strategy	13:50:26
11	that you applied in the in the March	
12	shelter-in-place order in protecting Santa Clara	
13	County during the summer of 2020?	
14	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to which	
15	March shelter-in-place order.	13:50:38
16	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
17	THE WITNESS: Right. That the time frame	
18	between, like, a shelter-in-place order and when you	
19	would see an effect is generally a few weeks. So	
20	it's I'm not that's why I'm having a hard time	13:50:56
21	answering your question because you're talking about	
22	an action in March and what would happen in the	
23	summer because you would see the effect from a March	
24	action within the weeks that followed rather than the	
25	months.	13:51:12
		1

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 101 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. Okay. Did you extend the the March 2020	
3	shelter-in-place order to prevent a surge during the	
4	summer of 2020?	
5	A. I extended the March shelter-in-place	13:51:26
6	order the March 16th was extended the end of	
7	March, and the March orders were extended in April	
8	and then again in in May.	
9	MS. GONDEIRO: Madam Court Reporter, can you	
10	please repeat my question?	13:51:43
11	(Record read.)	
12	THE WITNESS: I extended the March	
13	shelter-in-place order to pre to prevent a surge	
14	in the following weeks. I would not have it would	
15	have been difficult to project out much further than	13:52:13
16	that.	
17	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
18	Q. How effective was the shelter-in-place	
19	orders you implemented in April and May at protecting	
20	people in South San Jose?	13:52:28
21	A. The shelter-in-place orders, of course, were	
22	issued broadly across the population. The population	
23	in Santa Clara County is highly variable depending on	
24	where, a different makeup of the population, and so	
25	we could see you know, the patterns were not	13:52:52
		1

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 102 of 139

1	exactly equal across I I cannot recall	
2	specifically at that time what the patterns looked	
3	like with respect to location.	
4	Q. Well, regarding the the patterns, what	
5	what do you recall seeing during March and April of	13:53:09
6	2020?	
7	A. Well, there were many different patterns	
8	that we were looking at in our data to understand	
9	specific populations that were seeing higher case	
10	rates or higher positivity rates or higher	13:53:32
11	hospitalization rates. And we would look at it by	
12	age, race, ethnicity, location, and sometimes other	
13	variables.	
14	Q. Okay. Well, what what ethnicities were	
15	experiencing more COVID-19 cases during March and	13:53:55
16	April of 2020?	
17	A. A pattern that we began to see I think it	
18	wasn't totally clear until April, maybe late March,	
19	early April. I don't recall exactly where was a	
20	disproportionate impact among Hispanic/Latino	13:54:19
21	communities in the County.	
22	Q. Was there inequality between wealthy	
23	neighborhoods and less privileged neighborhoods	
24	regarding COVID-19?	
25	A. Many of the patterns of COVID-19 that we saw	13:54:40

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 103 of 139

1	reflected what we call "social determinants of	
2	health." And with COVID, a lot reflected housing.	
3	So more crowded housing would put a community at	
4	risk, or multigenerational households where there are	
5	a lot of people working and living with other	13:55:04
6	household members who are particularly vulnerable,	
7	particularly those who may have an underlying illness	
8	or vulnerable because of their age. So we did tend	
9	to see higher rates in in in those communities.	
10	Q. When you implemented your shelter-in-place	13:55:24
11	orders in April and or in March and then the	
12	extended shelter-in-place order in April of 2020, did	
13	you consider the fact that poor working-class	
14	families could not work from home?	
15	A. Yes.	13:55:39
16	Q. And in what did you allow them to work?	
17	A. One of the extraordinarily difficult parts	
18	of the pandemic was that some people needed to work	
19	outside and couldn't shelter at home because they	
20	needed to run things like health care operations or	13:56:00
21	food operations or some basic services, and they	
22	would be, you know, without other protections in	
23	place, at greater risk of COVID.	
24	And that, I would say, is one of the reasons	
25	why keeping the overall prevalence in the community	13:56:24

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 104 of 139

		1
1	as low as possible was one and a very important way	
2	of protecting everyone, including and especially	
3	those types of workers.	
4	Q. Were you concerned, at the time you	
5	implemented your shelter-in-place orders in March and	13:56:43
6	April, that child abuse would increase?	
7	A. I was concerned about all kinds of impacts	
8	of the shelter of the shelter in place and mindful	
9	of those as well as the impact on transmission if we	
10	weren't sheltering in place, which would include	13:57:08
11	hospitalization, deaths, and long-term disability.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: Madam Court Reporter, can you	
13	please repeat my question?	
14	(Record read.)	
15	MR. WALL: Is there	13:57:32
16	THE WITNESS: You're asking	
17	MR. WALL: Is there a question?	
18	THE WITNESS: Well, I'm just the	
19	MR. WALL: Dr. Cody, you only have to you	
20	need to ask respond to Ms. Gondeiro's questions.	13:57:46
21	I'm asking Ms. Gondeiro if there's a	
22	question	
23	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. She	
24	MR. WALL: to the witness.	
25	MS. GONDEIRO: She just an asked it.	13:57:54

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 105 of 139

1		
1	MR. WALL: You just so you're asking her	
2	to to answer the question that the reporter just	
3	read?	
4	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
5	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	13:57:59
6	But you can go ahead and answer again,	
7	Dr. Cody.	
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The we were and I	
9	was concerned about a whole host of issues that would	
10	arise with the shelter in place and with the	13:58:13
11	requirement that people stay isolated in their place	
12	of residence. And and we had to weigh all of	
13	those concerns against the concern of spread of	
14	COVID, hospitalizations, deaths from COVID, and	
15	long-term disability from COVID. So there are a lot	13:58:35
16	of extraordinarily difficult trade-offs and impacts.	
17	MS. GONDEIRO: Madam Court Reporter, can you	
18	please repeat the question again?	
19	(Record read.)	
20	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked	13:59:06
21	THE WITNESS: SO	
22	MR. WALL: Objection. Asked and answered.	
23	You can answer it for a third time,	
24	Dr. Cody.	
25	THE WITNESS: Right. So at the time that I	13:59:11

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 106 of 139

1	issued the shelter in place on March 16th, 2020,	
2	COVID was seemed to be moving rapidly. My top	
3	concern was the immediate need to prevent people from	
4	dying, and I also understood that there would be	
5	many, many other impacts, some of which I could think	13:59:34
6	of, some of which I could not think of, some of which	
7	would be measurable, many of which would not be	
8	measurable, especially at that time.	
9	My overwhelming concern when I issued the	
10	shelter in place was to prevent death and	13:59:50
11	hospitalization and any disabilities or other things	
12	unknown about the virus.	
13	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
14	Q. And regarding the many issues that you were	
15	concerned about, did that happen to include an	14:00:02
16	increase in child abuse?	
17	A. I I don't recall at that time which of	
18	those issues I was thinking about. It's difficult	
19	because, as the pandemic progressed, of course, we	
20	learned about, you know, many different aspects and	14:00:20
21	trade-offs. I do not specifically recall what I did	
22	and didn't know at the time that I issued the shelter	
23	in place.	
24	Q. Regarding the many issues you were concerned	
25	about, were you concerned about domestic violence?	14:00:34

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 107 of 139

1	A. Again, at the time that I issued the shelter	
2	in place, I can't specifically recall which issues I	
3	was thinking about and worrying about at the you	
4	know, at the moment that I issued the the	
5	shelter-in-place order.	14:00:53
6	Q. Earlier you had stated that there were many	
7	issues that you were concerned about; correct?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Okay. Well, among those many issues that	
10	you were concerned about and that you recall, did	14:01:02
11	that also did that happen to include increase in	
12	alcoholism?	
13	A. Again, I don't I cannot enumerate for you	
14	the specific issues I was thinking about in mid-March	
15	because they are mixed up with all the issues that	14:01:21
16	then presented over the course of the pandemic. So I	
17	don't I cannot tell you which specific issues I	
18	was thinking about in mid-March.	
19	Q. Well, at any time from, let's say, March of	
20	2020 through July of 2021, were you concerned	14:01:39
21	about let's start with child abuse?	
22	A. Of of course. And we learned during the	
23	pandemic that there was all of the challenges that	
24	humans have when they are staying at home and	
25	particularly when it's more difficult you know,	14:02:01

### Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 108 of 139

		1
1	lots of things are more difficult during the	
2	pandemic, and there's enormous stress because people	
3	are concerned about illness and health and a whole	
4	host of other things.	
5	And so, you know, we we we would	14:02:15
6	be learning about those, and of course we were	
7	concerned about all of the impacts of of the	
8	pandemic, you know, very broadly.	
9	Q. Were you aware throughout the COVID-19	
10	pandemic that child abuse was increasing in	14:02:36
11	Santa Clara County?	
12	MR. WALL: Objection.	
13	THE WITNESS: Um	
14	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
15	But you can answer, Dr. Cody.	14:02:43
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
17	I don't recall getting specific reports	
18	about child abuse, you know, specific reports in the	
19	County or, you know, spec yeah, I don't recall	
20	getting specific reports.	14:02:58
21	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
22	Q. Do you do you recall alcoholism	
23	increasing during the COVID-19 pandemic?	
24	MR. WALL: Same objection.	
25	THE WITNESS: We don't have a way to measure	14:03:11
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 109 of 139

		1			
1	alcoholism. We don't have a surveillance system for				
2	alcoholism, per se; so I wouldn't have a way to get				
3	those reports.				
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:				
5	Q. Okay. Were you aware of mental health	14:03:26			
6	issues increasing during COVID-19?				
7	MR. WALL: Same objection.				
8	THE WITNESS: What what time period are				
9	you asking about?				
10	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	14:03:42			
11	Q. Through the COVID-19 pandemic. So from when				
12	COVID-19 started in January of 2020 until the				
13	present.				
14	A. At any time during the pandemic?				
15	Q. Uh-huh.	14:03:52			
16	A. An increase in mental health issues?				
17	Q. Yes.				
18	A. With the with the available data that we				
19	had, yes.				
20	Q. Okay. And and where did that was that	14:04:04			
21	County data that you put that the did the				
22	County put that together, or did another entity put				
23	that together?				
24	A. The County data that would have been				
25	available to us would have been related to emergency	14:04:16			

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 110 of 139

		1		
1	department visits, potentially hospitalizations.			
2	Those are the kinds of data that we would have had			
3	available to us in the County.			
4	Q. Did this data show that there was an			
5	increase in suicidal ideation?	14:04:39		
6	A. I don't recall, and I would need to see the			
7	data and look at the particular time period.			
8	Q. Is this is this data put together in,			
9	like, reports?			
10	A. The data would be data that's accessible	14:04:59		
11	County data that might be put together in a you			
12	know, some kind of consolidated fashion where we			
13	could look at it and review it. There's not a formal			
14	cadence of reports that I can think of.			
15	Q. Okay. But it's it's documented somewhere	14:05:20		
16	that the County has access to?			
17	A. These are the the a subset of these			
18	data are ones that are available and we would be able			
19	to access. And that would include reasons for			
20	emergency department visits, hospitalizations,	14:05:36		
21	although those data lag quite a bit and often are not			
22	quite as timely so can be less less useful, but we			
23	would look at those as well.			
24	Q. Okay. What other what other data were			
25	you looking at outside of the the County?	14:05:51		

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 111 of 139

1	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
2	THE WITNESS: So I would have access to data	
3	collected by the County, and those would be the data	
4	that would be most likely most you know, most	
5	easily accessible and most likely that I'd be looking	14:06:11
6	at.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Did you review any other data conducted by	
9	anyone outside of the County regarding mental health	
10	issues during the COVID-19 pandemic?	14:06:21
11	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague. Overbroad.	
12	But you can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
13	THE WITNESS: I would have looked, you know,	
14	broadly again at CDC reports and the MMWR that might	
15	have measured these sorts of impacts, and that would	14:06:40
16	have been, you know, nationwide data or a report on a	
17	particular location and you know, just in general,	
18	those would be the kinds of places that I that I	
19	would have looked.	
20	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	14:06:53
21	Q. Do you remember the specific authors of any	
22	of those studies?	
23	A. (Shaking head.)	
24	Q. Okay. Do you do you remember the title	
25	of any of the studies?	14:07:04

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 112 of 139

1	A. I do not.	
2	Q. Do you remember the content of any of t	he
3	studies?	
4	A. Not specifically.	
5	Q. Do you remember anything about the stud	lies? 14:07:13
6	A. Well, what I can tell you is that these	:
7	types of questions about the the overall heal	.th
8	impact of the pandemic would have been likely to	have
9	been published in CDC publications. Those CDC	
10	publications might have national data, or they m	night 14:07:36
11	document the experience of a particular geograph	ıic
12	location or jurisdiction.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, can we pull	up
14	the next exhibit?	
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yep.	14:07:58
16	16?	
17	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.	
19	(Exhibit 16 was marked for identificati	on.)
20	MS. GONDEIRO: This is the Mandatory	14:08:08
21	Directive for Gatherings. It was issued in July	r
22	July 14th, 2020.	
23	Can you please scroll down to Section 5	.?
24	THE WITNESS: And I'm having a difficul	.t
25	time opening this from the chat for some reason.	14:08:25

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 113 of 139

		1
1	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you can you see can	
2	you see it on the screen, Sara or Dr. Cody?	
3	THE WITNESS: I can only see what's	
4	scrolling past, but I cannot I'm not able to open	
5	the exhibit from the chat.	14:08:39
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Well, can you go to	
7	the first page, Dan? Because	
8	THE WITNESS: Which exhibit is this? I'll	
9	see if I can try one more time.	
10	MS. GONDEIRO: This is the exhibit. It	14:08:49
11	says, "Mandatory Directive for Gatherings."	
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
13	MR. WALL: And, Dr. Cody, it's Exhibit 16.	
14	THE WITNESS: Right. I'm just clicking on	
15	the exhibit in the chat, and it's not popping up,	14:08:57
16	which is	
17	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay.	
18	THE WITNESS: challenging.	
19	MR. WALL: If you don't pull it up, I	
20	just	14:09:18
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
22	MR. WALL: I just printed a copy if you	
23	can't pull it up.	
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. I've I've got it.	
25	No, I've got it.	14:09:23

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 114 of 139

		Ī
1	Okay. I'm ready.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you please scroll down to	
3	Section 5, Dan?	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. So under Section 5, under the on in	14:09:42
6	bullet point 2 or the second bullet, it says, "No	
7	singing or shouting is allowed at gatherings because	
8	these activities significantly increase the risk of	
9	COVID-19 transmission."	
10	Did day camps constitute a gathering	14:10:04
11	pursuant to this gatherings directive?	
12	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to "day	
13	camps."	
14	But you can answer if you understand,	
15	Dr. Cody.	14:10:18
16	THE WITNESS: I'm I'm not quite sure I	
17	understand I'm not sure that day camps were	
18	considered met the definition of "gatherings."	
19	MS. GONDEIRO: You know what? I think what	
20	I'm going to do, if you guys don't mind, I'm just	14:10:35
21	going to go off the record and have you review the	
22	next three exhibits so that we're not wasting time on	
23	the record having you review them and familiar [sic]	
24	yourself. I had thought, you know, you had probably	
25	reviewed these orders.	14:10:49

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 115 of 139

1		
1	So why don't we just take a short	
2	five-minute break. You review the next exhibits.	
3	Okay?	
4	THE WITNESS: You would like me to review	
5	Exhibits which exhibits?	14:11:01
6	MS. GONDEIRO: The next three, so 16	
7	16 actually, let's do 16, 17	
8	MR. WALL: No, no, no. If you want us to do	
9	something on break, this is going to be deposition	
10	time. If you want to show the exhibit a witness	14:11:14
11	an exhibit, then she has a chance to look at the	
12	exhibit. You can ask her questions. She either	
13	recalls or she doesn't recall.	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: Hey, Dan, can you please take	
15	us off the record real so we can talk about this?	14:11:24
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are going off	
17	the record. The time is 2:11.	
18	(Recess taken.)	
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.	
20	The time is 2:14.	14:14:23
21	MS. GONDEIRO: Can you pull up Exhibit 16	
22	and scroll down to Section 5?	
23	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
24	Q. So we'll just start off where we left off.	
25	It says, "No singing or shouting is allowed at	14:14:46

## Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 116 of 139

```
1
     gatherings."
              Dr. Cody, did day camps constitute a
 2
     gathering pursuant to this gatherings directive?
 3
         Α.
              So I know the gathering directive did not
 4
 5
     apply to school classrooms. I believe that we --
                                                              14:15:00
     that we had a separate direct- -- a separate
 6
 7
     directive to help describe the safety measures for
 8
     youth programs and camps separate than the gathering
     directive.
 9
10
              Was -- was singing allowed at these -- at
                                                              14:15:22
11
     day camps at this time --
12
              MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to time
     frame.
13
14
     BY MS. GONDEIRO:
15
              -- during -- during July of 2020?
                                                              14:15:30
         Ο.
              So could we look at that directive?
16
         Α.
17
              I quess we'll have to pull it up later.
         Ο.
                                                         Ι
18
     don't have that specific directive as a exhibit.
19
              Do you recall whether singing and -- or --
20
     or shouting was allowed at recording studios during
                                                              14:15:58
2.1
     July of 2020?
22
         A.
              That's not within the gathering directive.
23
         Q.
              Yes. I'm just -- I --
24
         A.
              Your --
25
         Q.
              Were -- were recording studios -- did they
                                                              14:16:14
```

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 117 of 139

		1		
1	constitute a gathering pursuant to this gatherings			
2	directive?			
3	A. No. Recording studios would not have been a			
4	gathering because they would not have been gathering			
5	a number of people in the same place for an organized	14:16:28		
6	event.			
7	Q. Okay. Did you have separate guidance for			
8	recording studios at any			
9	A. Did we have separate			
10	Q during the COVID-19 pandemic?	14:16:38		
11	A. Did we have a specific directive for			
12	recording studios? They may have I I don't			
13	recall. They would have had guidance. I can't			
14	recall whether it was guidance specific just for them			
15	or whether they would have fallen under I don't	14:16:56		
16	recall which which they would have fallen under.			
17	What I can tell you about is the general			
18	the general intent about how these directives work,			
19	if that would be helpful.			
20	Q. No, that's okay.	14:17:14		
21	Was singing or chanting allowed at recording			
22	studios in July of 2020?			
23	A. When we did allow activity, it would have			
24	been with everyone masked and distanced except for			
25	the person who was performing	14:17:36		
		1		

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 118 of 139

1	Q. Okay.	
2	A to en to ensure safety. So it	
3	wouldn't it would only have been quite it would	
4	have been quite limited, not not like a gathering.	
5	Q. Okay. So if it was okay. So if it was	14:17:49
6	not a gathering, there could be singing or chanting	
7	as long as other safety measures were followed	
8	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague as to time	
9	frame.	
10	You can answer.	14:18:03
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q in July of 20 in July of 2020?	
13	A. So the the the gatherings directive,	
14	of course, applied to groups of people from various	
15	different households all coming together for some	14:18:14
16	sort of organized activity.	
17	And some of the other more specific	
18	directives would have had many more mitigation	
19	measures in place to ensure protection such as fewer	
20	people, longer distances, masks, testing, illness	14:18:31
21	reporting, ventilation, things of things of that	
22	nature. So they were, you know, quite quite	
23	different than than than the activities that	
24	would have fallen under the gatherings directive.	
25	Q. In July of 2020, were non-gatherings allowed	14:18:53

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 119 of 139

1		1
1	to sing or chant so long as other safety measures	
2	were followed?	
3	A. I think it would depend on the directive.	
4	Q. Okay. The directive for schools, I believe	
5	you had mentioned earlier you reviewed that.	14:19:13
6	Did it allow singing and chanting as long as	
7	safety measures were followed?	
8	A. So we	
9	MR. WALL: Vague as to time frame.	
10	THE WITNESS: We didn't have a so after	14:19:31
11	July, we did not have specific requirements for	
12	schools. We relied on the State's guidance for	
13	schools.	
14	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
15	Q. Okay. What about restaurants? After or	14:19:45
16	in July of 2020 or anytime after that, was was	
17	live music allowed or singing or chanting so long as	
18	other safety measures were followed?	
19	A. We did not allow live music or entertaining	
20	at dining establishments.	14:20:09
21	Q. At any point after July of 2020?	
22	A. There were times so for the most part	
23	so we did have dining directives to provide guidance	
24	for dining establishments. Initially, it was just	
25	outdoor dining. Much later, and I would have to	14:20:30
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 120 of 139

		1
1	refresh my memory to remember the exact timing,	
2	briefly indoor indoor dining.	
3	But the overall intent was to not have any	
4	activity that would put diners that would increase	
5	risk. So there were we would not it you	14:20:50
6	know, having having entertainment would not have	
7	been part of that.	
8	Q. Okay. Was any type of singing or chanting	
9	allowed after July of 2020 at dining establishments?	
10	A. Was any singing allowed at any time? Like	14:21:11
11	up till up till present, or up till when?	
12	Q. Yes. Since since July of 2020 to the	
13	present.	
14	A. So at present, we don't have any	
15	restrictions.	14:21:27
16	Q. So let's start in July, then.	
17	Was any type of singing or chanting allowed	
18	at dining establishments?	
19	A. In July 2020, we would not have allowed	
20	singing or chanting at dining establishments, and	14:21:43
21	dining establishments were not open.	
22	Q. Uh-huh. Okay. When they were allowed to be	
23	open or at any time during the fall of 2020, were	
24	was any type of singing or chanting allowed at dining	
25	establishments?	14:22:04
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 121 of 139

1	A.	So, if I may, we had many, many directives,	
2	and they	changed over time depending on their risk in	
3	the comm	unity.	
4		And so that I can be precise for you, if you	
5	could dia	splay the directive that you're referring to,	14:22:21
6	I can be	much more specific in answering your	
7	question	s.	
8	Q.	We will we will come back to those	
9	specific	directives.	
10		MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you please pull up	14:22:35
11	the next	exhibit?	
12		(Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)	
13		MS. GONDEIRO: This is a Public Health	
14	Departmen	nt response to the George George Floyd	
15	protests		14:22:54
16		MR. WALL: I'm sorry. I don't mean to	
17	interrup	t, Mariah. What exhibit number is this?	
18		MS. GONDEIRO: It should be Exhibit 17.	
19		MR. WALL: Okay. Oh, thank you.	
20	BY MS. G	ONDEIRO:	14:23:10
21	Q.	I'll give you a moment to read it, Sara.	
22	Α.	Okay. I'm just trying to pull it up.	
23		MR. WALL: If it's if you're having	
24	trouble,	Dr. Cody, the the full it's a one-page	
25	exhibit,	and the full text aside from the address	14:23:38

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 122 of 139

1		1
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
2	MR. WALL: information is on the screen.	
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll just read it on	
4	the screen because it's	
5	MR. WALL: Okay.	14:23:46
6	THE WITNESS: it's giving me trouble.	
7	Yes, I do see the exhibit.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. Did this summarize did this email	
10	summarize the County's response to the protests that	14:23:52
11	occurred during the summer of 2020?	
12	MR. WALL: Objection. Vague.	
13	THE WITNESS: This email summarizes the	
14	Public Health Department's response.	
15	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	14:24:13
16	Q. Okay. Was there any specific guidance or	
17	orders that Santa Clara County issued in response to	
18	the protests that were occurring during the summer of	
19	2020?	
20	A. We were we I can't remember exactly	14:24:33
21	how, but we were encouraging testing and	
22	mask-wearing	
23	Q. Uh-huh.	
24	A to ensure safety.	
25	Q. Did the County require everyone attending a	14:24:50

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 123 of 139

		1
1	gathering to wear a mask or let me repeat the	
2	question.	
3	Did the County require people attending a	
4	protest, during the summer of 2020, to wear a mask?	
5	A. So coming together for an organized activity	14:25:09
6	would be a gathering; and and any activity like	
7	that that is a gathering, they would need to follow	
8	the gatherings rules.	
9	Q. So so did you req did you require	
10	protesters to wear a mask, or did you just encourage	14:25:31
11	them to wear a mask during the summer of 2020?	
12	A. So, during the summer of 2020, we would	
13	have if any like, we would have I'm trying	
14	to remember. Indoors, absolutely masking was	
15	required. And, as I recall, outdoors, when people	14:25:50
16	were close together, masking would also be required	
17	because of the the concerns of for people's	
18	safety.	
19	Q. Sure.	
20	So if masking was required for these	14:26:03
21	protests, why did the County release to the public	
22	that they encouraged those to follow the orders	
23	instead of saying, "You are required to wear a mask"?	
24	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
25	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?	14:26:28

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 124 of 139

		1
1	I'm trying to match the question to the exhibit.	
2	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
3	Q. Sure.	
4	So on the second-to-last sentence, it says,	
5	"We also encourage those who are in" or, actually,	14:26:38
6	it's the the third to last: "As residents of the	
7	County exercise this right, we respectfully remind	
8	everyone that COVID-19 is still present and to keep	
9	in mind the important practices, such as face	
10	coverings and, to the extent possible, maintaining	14:26:59
11	social distancing."	
12	A. Right. So this is this is reminding that	
13	they need to use face coverings. So reminding them	
14	that face coverings are required and also encouraging	
15	testing and maintaining social distancing.	14:27:23
16	Q. But instead of saying the "to keep in	
17	mind the important practices," why didn't the County	
18	say, "You are required to wear a face covering at	
19	protests," during the summer of 2020?	
20	MR. WALL: Object to form.	14:27:40
21	THE WITNESS: So this document is not a	
22	public health order outlining requirements. This	
23	document is a statement of response to the incidents	
24	occurring at the time. It's it's not a it's	
25	not an order listing requirements.	14:27:58
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 125 of 139

1	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
2	Q. And so it says here that you that the	
3	County was encouraging, to the extent possible,	
4	social distancing.	
5	So the County was okay with the fact that	14:28:10
6	there would be some protesters who would be within	
7	6 feet of distance during the summer of 2020?	
8	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
9	Can you also show the witness the date of	
10	this email, Ms. Gondeiro? It's not visible on the	14:28:21
11	screen.	
12	MS. GONDEIRO: It's	
13	MR. WALL: Thank you.	
14	MS. GONDEIRO: It's it's June 2nd.	
15	THE WITNESS: June 2nd.	14:28:39
16	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes.	
17	THE WITNESS: And and so can you repeat	
18	your question?	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. It says here that, to the extent possible,	14:28:48
21	protesters must maintain social distancing.	
22	Did you intend for this to mean that if	
23	if that there that protesters could be within	
24	6 feet of distance during the summer of 2020?	
25	MR. WALL: Object to form, including the	14:29:08

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 126 of 139

1	"you."	
2	THE WITNESS: So at this time this is	
3	before July, and I think the gatherings directive	
4	didn't become effective until July. So this is in	
5	June.	14:29:27
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Uh-huh.	
7	THE WITNESS: And so this is during the time	
8	that so there wouldn't have been a directive	
9	that's that's defining this as a gathering and	
10	outlining exactly the requirements. So there	14:29:42
11	wouldn't have been a way to reference the	
12	requirements because we didn't have the gathering	
13	directive until July, as I recall. Right. This is	
14	in early June.	
15	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	14:29:57
16	Q. Okay. So at this time, in June of 2020,	
17	protesters were not required to socially distance?	
18	A. We protesters should protect themselves	
19	by wearing face coverings and by by keeping a	
20	distance from each other.	14:30:14
21	Q. Yeah. I'm not asking "they should."	
22	Were they required to socially distance in	
23	June of 2020?	
24	A. I believe that, at this time, they would	
25	have been under the last shelter-in-place order,	14:30:26

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 127 of 139

		1
1	which would have required everyone to use face	
2	coverings and maintain social distancing especially	
3	indoors, and outdoors as well, to the extent that	
4	they could.	
5	Q. Okay. To the extent that they could.	14:30:50
6	Okay. Why did the County consider these	
7	protests to be a fundamental right but did not ever	
8	consider religious gatherings to be a fundamental	
9	right	
10	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	14:31:08
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q during the COVID-19 pandemic?	
13	MR. WALL: Objection. Assumes facts.	
14	THE WITNESS: I this exhibit that you	
15	have up is the Public Health Department's response to	14:31:21
16	George Floyd. It's not a countywide response a	
17	countywide statement. It's coming from the Public	
18	Health Department leadership team.	
19	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
20	Q. Did you ever did you ever publicly say	14:31:39
21	that protesters had a fundamental right to protest	
22	during the summer of 2020?	
23	MR. WALL: Object. Outside the scope.	
24	You can answer the question, Dr. Cody.	
25	THE WITNESS: So I don't I don't I	14:31:53
		i

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 128 of 139

		7
1	don't recall whether I made public comments about	
2	protesting and I don't recall.	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. In June of 2020, were you concerned that	
5	these protests were going to significantly increase	14:32:09
6	the spread of COVID-19?	
7	A. In June of 2020, we we were concerned	
8	that any event that brought people together in close	
9	proximity could be a risk.	
10	Q. Uh-huh.	14:32:31
11	A. In June of 2020, we were also beginning to	
12	understand that outdoors was much safer than indoors	
13	because of the natural ventilation outdoors.	
14	Q. Uh-huh. Were you specifically concerned	
15	that the protests that were occurring in the summer	14:32:48
16	of 2020 would significantly increase the transmission	
17	of COVID-19? And I'm talking specifically in regards	
18	to the protests.	
19	A. So the protests in early June, we were	
20	concerned, which is why we were urging people to get	14:33:07
21	tested and to watch for symptoms because of the	
22	potential for spread given that there were groups of	
23	people from different households that were together.	
24	Q. Sure.	
25	Do you remember or do you recall that	14:33:33

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 129 of 139

		1
1	COVID-19 cases significantly increased during the	
2	months of June and July of 2020?	
3	A. I would need to look at our epidemic curve,	
4	but I recall that cases did not begin to increase	
5	until July. We began to see a rise in July.	14:33:57
6	MS. GONDEIRO: Dan, can you please pull up	
7	the next exhibit?	
8	(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.)	
9	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
10	Q. Does this protest or does this graph look	14:34:16
11	familiar, Dr. Cody?	
12	A. Yes, it does.	
13	Q. Okay. And does this graph display that	
14	COVID-19 cases were significantly increasing,	
15	starting in the beginning of June of 2020?	14:34:34
16	A. Yes. This graph shows that cases were	
17	beginning to increase from the previous baseline	
18	beginning in, you know, early/mid-June.	
19	Q. Okay. And what did what do you believe	
20	contributed to that significant increase in COVID-19	14:34:58
21	cases starting in mid-June of 2020?	
22	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
23	THE WITNESS: I think that there were a	
24	number of factors. One, it had we were then five	
25	months into the pandemic. People's behavior was	14:35:26
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 130 of 139

1	beginning to change. People were traveling. It was	
2	summertime, and people were having social gatherings	
3	in spite of the risks and in spite of the	
4	requirements. And there was, in general, increases	
5	in activity, not just in our county but in	14:35:56
6	surrounding counties and states as well, which, of	
7	course, impacts our county.	
8	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
9	Q. When did the County lift their second	
10	shelter-in-place order?	14:36:12
11	A. In early July, I rescinded the last	
12	shelter-in-place order and put in place a risk	
13	reduction order.	
14	Q. Okay. So I actually wasn't aware of	
15	that. I was not aware the shelter-in-place order	14:36:39
16	lasted through July.	
17	A. It lasted through until early July.	
18	Q. It lasted through early July.	
19	Did the County expect, considering they had	
20	the shelter-in-place order in effect, that there	14:36:56
21	would be a resurgence starting in June of 2020?	
22	A. There were a number of challenges, and among	
23	them not an exhaustive list is that	
24	jurisdictions around us were allowing more	
25	activities, and residents of our county were	14:37:22
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 131 of 139

1		
1	traveling because we live in a in a region, not	
2	just in a county. And there so we couldn't, of	
3	course, control the amount of activity and the	
4	opportunities for exposure that everyone living in	
5	our county may have. And that was occurring in	14:37:46
6	in you know, in many different sectors and in	
7	and in many different ways.	
8	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, can you pull up	
9	the next exhibit?	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. I'm going to have	14:38:05
11	to load that up in chat here. That's going to be	
12	Exhibit 19.	
13	MS. GONDEIRO: Yes. We're just whipping	
14	through.	
15	MR. WALL: Thank you.	14:38:13
16	(Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.)	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want me to add any	
18	more while I'm here?	
19	MS. GONDEIRO: And, Dan, while you're	
20	doing once you do this, can you can you can	14:38:27
21	you please include the next exhibit, Exhibit 20?	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.	
23	(Exhibit 20 was marked for identification.)	
24	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
25	Q. Dr. Cody, you can go ahead and just download	14:38:39

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 132 of 139

1		
1	that exhibit as well. You can download both 19 and	
2	20.	
3	A. Yeah. Unfortunately, I'm having difficulty	
4	downloading from the chat, but I am going to try.	
5	Okay. Got it.	14:38:59
6	Q. Dr. Cody, do you remember doing an interview	
7	with Paul Costello in October of 2020?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. What did you mean when you said it was an	
10	extraordinarily humbling event?	14:39:17
11	A. What I meant was that responding to the	
12	pandemic was an ex was extraordinarily humbling	
13	because there were so many difficult decisions and	
14	difficult trade-offs and that it was humbling. I	
15	was	14:39:45
16	Q. Sure.	
17	A felt enormous responsibility, and I was	
18	concerned and trying to make the best decisions that	
19	I could to protect our hospitals and to make sure	
20	that people didn't die and didn't lose family. It	14:39:58
21	was very difficult.	
22	Q. Okay. Understood.	
23	Was it also humbling because you were not	
24	prepared for a June resurgence in 2020?	
25	A. No. That is not what I was referring to in	14:40:14

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 133 of 139

		1
1	this interview at all.	
2	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Dan, can you scroll	
3	down to page 2?	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Costello asks, "Is this moment an inflection	14:40:34
6	point of COVID-19?"	
7	You respond, "It certainly is. I have to	
8	tell you, to be candid, June was an incredibly	
9	depressing month for us here in the local response	
10	because I had my set" "my heart set on full	14:40:47
11	containment."	
12	What did you mean by that?	
13	A. That, in our county, our community, for the	
14	most part, really came together and did many	
15	extraordinarily difficult things to protect each	14:41:05
16	other and to protect families and to protect the	
17	community. And we did work really, really well	
18	together and got our rates really, really low.	
19	But it was really the realization that we	
20	were not an island, and we could do everything we	14:41:21
21	could, but we couldn't control what people around us	
22	were doing or not doing.	
23	Q. Uh-huh.	
24	A. And that was having an influence on our case	
25	rates.	14:41:36

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 134 of 139

1	Q. Sure.	
2	A. So	
3	Q. So you said here, you know, "I had my heart	
4	set on full containment."	
5	So with you reviewing this answer, did	14:41:43
6	did you anticipate a June resurgence in 2020?	
7	A. Did I in 2020? I mean, did I antici	
8	Q. Yep, yep.	
9	Did you anticipate a June resurgence in	
10	2020?	14:42:03
11	A. As I as I mentioned earlier, it was	
12	always difficult to look ahead around the corner and	
13	to know how this pandemic was going to be moving.	
14	Q. Uh-huh.	
15	A. And so, you know, we had done our	14:42:18
16	community had done, you know, very well given the	
17	tools that we had, and so to see cases rise again was	
18	very difficult to see. You know, I had hoped that we	
19	could hold things low and not have that resurgence.	
20	Q. Yeah. So your goal was that there would not	14:42:43
21	have been a resurgence starting in June of 2020?	
22	A. That had been my goal, yes.	
23	Q. Okay. Can you go to the bottom of page 2,	
24	please?	
25	Costello asks or states that, you know,	14:43:06
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 135 of 139

1	"Nursing homes and assisted living facilities have	
2	been hit hard in Santa Clara County and"	
3	"and around the country."	
4	What measures did Santa Clara County put	
5	into place to protect the most vulnerable like	14:43:20
6	nursing homes?	
7	A. What measures did we put in place during	
8	which time period?	
9	Q. Starting in I guess that would be April.	
10	A. Right. So starting in April 2020, what	14:43:41
11	measures did we have in place to protect vulnerable	
12	people in nursing homes and assisted living?	
13	Q. Yes.	
14	A. That's your question? Okay.	
15	Q. Yes.	14:43:55
16	MR. WALL: Objection. Outside the scope.	
17	Dr. Cody, you can answer the question.	
18	Sorry to interrupt.	
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
20	We worked incredibly hard to do everything	14:44:01
21	that we could to protect people in nursing home and	
22	assisted living facilities by providing by	
23	collecting data to understand what was happening; by	
24	providing guidance and technical assistance on	
25	infection control, on testing policies, on policies	14:44:21

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 136 of 139

		7
1	around personal protective equipment, around	
2	visitors, protections for staff, staffing; and by	
3	I can't recall what month, but we had a team that was	
4	dedicated to working directly with long-term care	
5	facilities with vulnerable residents to to protect	14:44:56
6	them.	
7	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
8	Q. Okay. Were there a lot of or were there	
9	COVID-19 cases occurring in nursing homes starting in	
10	April of 2020?	14:45:14
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. Would you say that that was a hot bed	
13	for COVID-19 transmission starting in April of 2020?	
14	MR. WALL: Object to form.	
15	You can answer the question.	14:45:27
16	THE WITNESS: The pattern that we saw in	
17	long-term care facilities, which includes skilled	
18	nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, and	
19	it's, you know, a large group, was people vulnerable	
20	to severe illness, hospitalization, and death are	14:45:47
21	clustered in those facilities.	
22	So any introduction of infection into a	
23	facility like that can be quite serious. And so, you	
24	know, keeping the overall prevalence in the community	
25	as low as possible was one of the best ways to	14:46:10
		1

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 137 of 139

		1
1	protect infection coming into a facility	
2	inadvertently.	
3	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
4	Q. Do you recall ever or in during the	
5	summer of 2020 ever publicly saying that protests	14:46:23
6	probably contributed to the rise in COVID-19 cases?	
7	MR. WALL: Object. Object to form.	
8	THE WITNESS: In the summer of 2020, we did	
9	not have evidence or a way to discern which which	
10	activities were contributing, and I don't believe	14:46:46
11	other jurisdictions did either.	
12	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
13	Q. Did you ever publicly say, though, that	
14	in COVID-19 cases?	
15	A. You froze there for a moment. You're	14:47:07
16	you're back on. But you were frozen, so I didn't	
17	catch	
18	Q. Can you hear me now?	
19	A. I can hear you now. I didn't you cut out	
20	in the middle of your question.	14:47:20
21	Q. Okay. Thank you.	
22	During the summer of 2020, do you recall	
23	ever publicly saying that you believed the protests	
24	contributed to the rise in COVID-19 cases?	
25	MR. WALL: Object to form. Object as	14:47:30
		I

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 138 of 139

		1
1	outside the scope.	
2	You can answer the question.	
3	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	
4	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
5	Q. Okay. Do you recall, during the summer of	14:47:35
6	2020, speaking to reporters about the protests?	
7	MR. WALL: Same objections.	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't specifically recall,	
9	but but I I may have answered a question from a	
10	reporter if if asked.	14:47:49
11	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
12	Q. Do you remember the company that this	
13	report that the reporter worked from?	
14	A. I I don't remember ask answering a	
15	question, so I don't remember who the reporter would	14:48:02
16	have been or where they were from.	
17	Q. Do you	
18	A. I may have. I don't recall.	
19	Q. Okay. Do you recall speaking to any	
20	reporter about the protests during the summer of	14:48:11
21	2020?	
22	A. I don't recall. I may have, but I don't	
23	recall.	
24	Q. Okay. We're going to go to the next	
25	exhibit.	14:48:23

# Case 5:20-cv-03794-BLF Document 210-2 Filed 09/16/22 Page 139 of 139

1	MR. WALL: While we're pulling that up,	
2	Mariah, we've been going for about an hour; so if you	
3	could keep an eye on the clock and give us a break in	
4	the next few minutes, that would be great.	
5	MS. GONDEIRO: Okay. Sure. I think we can	14:48:40
6	get through this exhibit.	
7	THE WITNESS: And which exhibit is this?	
8	MS. GONDEIRO: This is Exhibit 20. It's the	
9	revised risk reduction order.	
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. I've got it.	14:48:55
11	MS. GONDEIRO: Could you scroll down to	
12	Section 2?	
13	And, actually, can you scroll down to the	
14	next page? I think okay.	
15	So scroll up a little bit because the	14:49:25
16	the the sentence is between page 2 and 3.	
17	There we go.	
18	BY MS. GONDEIRO:	
19	Q. It says, "Governmental entities and their	
20	contractors are not required to follow these	14:49:36
21	requirements to the extent that such requirements	
22	would inter-" "would impede or interfere with an	
23	essential government function, as determined by the	
24	governmental health [sic] entity, unless otherwise	
25	specifically directed in the Order or by the Health	14:49:52
		1